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## PROCEEDINGS

## PUBLIC PARTICIPATION HEARING

## WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 15, 2020

MODERATOR SHAFER: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. If I could have your attention, please, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Abe Shafer. I'm a retired Circuit Judge and have been a member of the Missouri Bar for over 51 years. I have been asked to preside or moderate this public hearing tonight where everyone will be allowed to express their views, concerns and considerations.

The County Commission consisting of Presiding Commissioner Lee Sawyer, Western District Commissioner Ron Hook and Eastern District Commissioner Scott Burnham are all in attendance tonight to listen to your comments, along with members of the Planning Commission and staff who will also be here to listen to your comments.

The County Commission has established some public hearing guidelines. So there will be fairness and a full opportunity for everyone to be heard. Is anybody up in the back having trouble hearing me?

PUBLIC MEMBERS: Yes. Speak up.
MODERATOR SHAFER: I'll try to speak up. Is that better?

PUBLIC MEMBERS: Yes.
MODERATOR SHAFER: All right. Well, I just mentioned that all members of your County Commission are here. There are members of the Planning Commission here. They're here to listen tonight to your cares, your concerns, and listen to what you have to say as the consideration of this matter goes on. The Commission has established public hearing guidelines so that the hearing will be fair and open to all residents who desire to speak.

The purpose of the public hearing is to provide an opportunity for interested parties to provide comments about potential wind energy regulations in Buchanan County. In order to establish an orderly, fair and efficient process for all involved, the following guidelines will be implemented:

Number One. Speakers must sign up at the hearing prior to speaking. A written sheet was provided, and speakers will be called to speak in the order of their sign-up. It's my understanding that everyone here was asked to sign the sign-up sheet, so you may be planning on speaking or not. But when we get started with the public portion of this hearing, I am going to call each name on the sign-up sheet, and if you want to speak, you will have your opportunity to speak. But certainly
because you signed in, you are not required to speak.
Each speaker will be required to provide their name and address. Each speaker will be provided five minutes to provide comments. The speaker is not required to spend the entire five minutes. I have been given the discretion to extend or shorten the time of a speaker as necessary. In the event that testimony is provided on a topic which is particularly unique or deals with an area of scientific or mechanical expertise, I will be allowed to extend the time allotted to provide a thorough presentation of that same.

In lieu of oral testimony, parties or entities may present input in written form. This can be accomplished via three different methods; by sending the written comments via email to dembrey@co.buchanan.mo.us; by delivering or mailing the written comments to Buchanan County Planning and Zoning, Buchanan County Courthouse, 411 Jules, St. Joseph, Missouri 64501.

During any public hearing, written testimony can be hand delivered to the clerk conducting the hearing. Any written commentary needs to be received by 5:00 PM on January 31, 2020. All written commentary will be copied and distributed to the Planning and Zoning Commission. It will not be read aloud during the public hearing.

Testimony shall be limited to Buchanan County
residents or those entities wishing to conduct wind-related business within the county. Any nonperson entity, for example a company or a trust owning land in Buchanan County, shall also have the opportunity to provide testimony by a designated representative.

The hearings are expected to be conducted in a courteous and orderly manner. Only the designated speaker will be able to provide input at any given time during the hearing. Outbursts or other unsolicited commentary, for example cheering or booing during the testimony, will not be tolerated. I reserve the right to remove anyone from the hearing that does not follow the rules set forth by your County Commission. I also have the discretion to amend these rules as may be deemed appropriate during the hearing.

Speakers are allowed to present exhibits in the form of pictures, maps, etc. In the event they are utilized, said exhibits will be retained by Buchanan County for purposes of maintaining a record of the hearing. Each speaker will have a single opportunity to provide input. Rebuttal testimony will not be permitted without my specific approval.

If the posted ending time for the public hearing arrives without all speakers having had the opportunity to speak, the hearing will recess and reconvene at the
next scheduled date. This hearing is scheduled to recess tonight at 9 o'clock, and if necessary, will reconvene tomorrow evening at 6 o'clock. If a future date is not -- is needed, then one will be established and public notice will be given.

Additionally, as I want to reiterate, this is not a question-and-answer session. The County Commission and members of the Planning Commission are here to listen to your comments, concerns and suggestions. When a speaker concludes, applause only will be permitted. Please remember to not interrupt a speaker with applause or booing or encouragement. Please do not use foul or offensive language.

There has been prepared a list of questions, frequently-asked questions.

Why are regulations necessary? The answer to that is currently there are no regulations specific to wind energy projects in the Buchanan County Zoning order. If a wind energy company wanted to bring a project to Buchanan County, there is no framework in place to determine under what conditions the project would or would not be allowed. The Buchanan County Commission has requested that regulations be put in place to address potential future wind energy projects. These regulations would set specific rules for anyone wishing
to establish a wind energy project in Buchanan County. All zoning regulations are an effort to balance the freedom of people being able to do what they desire with their property against the protection of the neighboring properties and the community as a whole.

The process is as follows. Since wind energy regulations require a great deal of scientific and energy knowledge, the Buchanan County Commission retained the engineering firm of Black and Veatch to draft preliminary guidelines. They researched how other communities dealt with the issue and provided a preliminary draft. This initial draft was presented to the Planning and Zoning Commission at two different meetings and has been posted online.

The next step in the process is to gather input from the community and interested parties. This is done via public hearings. These hearings are an opportunity for the Planning and Zoning Commission to listen and determine what issues are important to those involved. After the public hearing -- after the public hearings, the Planning and Zoning Commission will conduct a work session to amend the Black and Veatch draft. The Planning and Zoning Commission is permitted to change this preliminary draft and construct a final version that best fits unique characteristics of Buchanan

County. Once the Planning and Zoning Commission approves a final draft, their recommendation is then sent to the Buchanan County Commission for a final approval or a denial.

What is a setback? A setback is a minimum required distance between a building project and a geographic item. For instance, if there is a property line setback of 2,640 feet, one-half mile, then a project cannot be built closer than this distance to the property line. Multiple setbacks can apply to the same project. For instance, the project might contain a property-line set.back and a habitable-structure setback. This means that the project must be a certain distance from the property line, but must also be a certain distance from any habitable structure. When there are multiple setbacks that apply, typically the builder has to comply with all of them to meet the code requirements.

Ladies and gentlemen, I will now begin to call for speakers. If you have not already silenced your phone, I would ask you to please do so. I've done my best to silence mine, but $I$ can tell you I've been in an open courtroom and mine has gone off. So nobody is going to fault you if it goes off. But I have tried to get mine off and I hope I have accomplished the same.

The first person to sign in is Rick Knieb.

Mr. Knieb, do you desire to speak?
MR. KNIEB: Yes.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Would you please come up to the podium, sir.

## RICK KNIEB

MODERATOR SHAFER: Mr. Knieb, would you please state your name and tell everyone where you live.

MR. KNIEB: Rick Knieb, 430 Southeast 90th Road, St. Joseph, Missouri.

PUBLIC MEMBER: We can't hear.
MR. KNIEB: Sorry. Rick Knieb, 430 Southeast 90th Road, St. Joseph, Missouri.

Good evening. First off, thank you for the opportunity to address the Commission. As I said, my name is Rick Knieb and I'm a resident and property owner of Buchanan County. Marion Township is directly affected by this project as my property will fall within the boundary, or directly adjacent to the boundary of the project.

I'm employed as a commodity manager for a major manufacturing company in St. Joseph. As part of my employment, my responsibilities include reviewing and helping to write commercial agreements clearly laying out the responsibilities of all concerned parties. As I've read the latest revision of the zoning ordinance, I
see several areas that may need clarification or additional information.

This evening $I$ went to address the economic impact that could be placed on nonparticipating residents of the county. One of the objectives listed under Section 1 of the proposed ordinance is to protect property values and extended conditions. To make my point, I'll be summarizing and quoting directly from three articles that address the effect of wind farms on property values. The discussion points these articles make are mentioned in Section 6, Segment 10; Section 7, Sections 2 through 4; Section 8, Segment 2 of the draft ordinance.

These articles, along with others on the subject, can be viewed in their entirety online. First article is titled "Do Wind Turbines Lower Property Values?" It's taken from Forbes.com dated September 23, 2015 written by Jude Clement. Jude's bio states that he is a principle at JTC Energy Resource Associates and that he has over 400 professional publications relating to energy. He has also authored and edited reports for the US Department of Energy.

Jude writes, "Wind farms flicker, make noise, cause health problems and can be visual intrusions, so their impact on property values, especially as wind power
grows, is increasingly concerning. It seems logical enough, anything that would cause a potential buyer to value a property less lowers its value. The value of a piece of property, after all, is just what someone is willing to pay for it. Markets are about supply and demand, and all things being equal, why would someone choose to buy a home with an industrial wind farm nearby?"

Jude quotes Michael McCann of McCann Appraisals LLC based in Chicago. "Residential property values are adversely and measurably impacted by close proximity to an industrial scale wind energy turbine project for up to two miles. The range is 25 percent to approximately 40 percent of value lost."

The next article is a blog by Abigail Mooney dated April 5th of 2019. Ms. Mooney's bio states that she is a senior analyst at Greenfield Advisors with nearly a decade of experience working on a variety of real estate and business valuation projects. Additionally, she's a program coordinator for the residential mortgage-backed securities matters for that firm.

She writes, "Do wind turbines affect property value? The answer is, in fact, yes, depending on several conditions. To understand the degree to which value is affected, we must first understand how they are
affected. So use and enjoyment. Noise pollution is created by wind turbines, more particularly groups of turbines at wind farms. Shadows and flicker may impact nearby homes depending on their proximity to the wind farm. Health impacts may arise for nearby residents whose sleep is interrupted by noise and light issues noted above. However, the long-term effects are largely unknown.

Impacts to view may be considered a disamenity to residents who experience limited overall visibility or a change from a natural vista to a more industrial view.

Stigma and decreased demand. The anticipation of adverse effect from wind farms has been noted by some studies to have more impact on value than the effects of the wind farms themselves.

While all of the above may not deter every buyer or homeowner, the stigma of such issues alone can diminish the pool of potential buyers, thus causing negative impact on the price of the property. Along with the studies that we reviewed, the highest diminution that we saw was 40 percent, and that was in the circumstances where the wind turbine was located directly on the property. While that loss of percentage was on the high end, most studies show that the loss of property value from wind farm in the United States is somewhere between
zero and 35 percent."
The last article I will cite is from a Forensic Appraisal Group website from Neenah, Wisconsin titled, "Do Wind Turbines Affect Property Values?"
"Wind turbine companies say it doesn't, and so do their advocates. But they have something to protect by saying so. After all, what company would ever admit that their product damages people's quality of life? Groups against wind turbines and wind farms say that it does. They cite noise, blinking lights at night, view obstruction and other factors as well. But they also have something to gain by saying so. Maybe they just don't like the change or maybe they're just rebels looking for a cause.

Through our research, we've interviewed dozens of realtors, because they have nothing to gain by taking sides in this debate, making them the perfect barometers of public perception of wind turbines and their effect on property values. After all, a realtor's job is to sell. If the market says a house with polka dots sells for less than a house of a single color scheme, a good realtor adopts the public's perception of value and discounts the polka dotted house to make the sale."

MODERATOR SHAFER: Excuse me, sir, your time has expired. But because of the technical information
you're presenting, I'll allow you to continue. Can you wrap up in another 30 seconds or so?

MR. KNEIB: Yes. Thank you.
MODERATOR SHAFER: If you would like to submit your written information to the clerk for consideration by the Planning Commission, you are most welcome to do so. MR. KNEIB: Thank you. Do I still have the 30 seconds?

MODERATOR SHAFER: You've still got the 30 seconds. MR. KNEIB: Okay. So what do most realtors think of property -- think of the property that once had an attractive view shed that now looks at wind turbines? According to research, an overwhelming majority of realtors say the wind turbines negatively affect property value. They estimate the range of impact from 10 percent reduction to be completely unsellable."

In reviewing the ordinance before this evening, mention is made of required property value impact study Section 6 and Section 10. We're going to skip that portion and go to the wrap-up.

In closing, I urge the Commission to consider that for many people their home is their greatest asset. Buchanan County should not adopt any rezoning ordinance that does not protect the citizens by diminishing property values resulting from industrial building
projects. In rezoning, if rezoning is undertaken -- is allowed, this or any other wind project to move forward, an ordinance should be -- should include language that states that residents who suffer from reduction of property as a result of the project shall be compensated for the diminished value of their property by the entity responsible for the project. They should also lay out the methodology for determining the diminished value so that any disputes are avoided on the back end. Thank you, and I appreciate your consideration.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, sir. I apologize I mispronounced your last name.

Stephanie McGuire or Karl McGuire.

## STEPHANIE McGUIRE

MS. McGUIRE: Five minutes is going to be optimistic, I'll let you know. May I approach, Your Honor?

MODERATOR SHAFER: You may.
MS. McGUIRE: I only made 12 binders. You have my apologies. So you may have to share. There's not enough to go around.

PUBLIC MEMBER: Your Honor?
MODERATOR SHAFER: Yes?
PUBLIC MEMBER: Can I allot my time to Stephanie so that she can have more time?

MODERATOR SHAFER: That is not permitted. I will discuss with Ms. McGuire how much time she needs and make a determination so that we can afford everyone involved the information needed.

PUBLIC MEMBER: We still can't hear.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Can you not hear me?
PUBLIC MEMBER: We can hear you.
MODERATOR SHAFER: But you couldn't hear the last speaker?

PUBLIC MEMBER: Yes.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Well, we'll ask everyone to speak up. That may be our only solution, ladies and gentlemen. Yes, Ms. McGuire.

MS. McGUIRE: Can I put this on the record?
MODERATOR SHAFER: Put whatever on the record that you want. Everything is on the record.

MS. McGUIRE: You talked about time. Do you want me to ahead and start presenting and then make a determination if I get additional time?

MODERATOR SHAFER: How much time are you requesting, and who are you and who do you represent? Would you step to the podium, please, so that everyone can hear you. Thank you. I apologize.

MS. McGUIRE: Oh, no problem. No problem. My name
is Stephanie Karl McGuire. Can you guys hear me in the
mic? I currently reside at 219 Yorkchester Drive in Houston, Texas. However, I am a taxpayer and property owner at 2145 Northeast Road 139 in Marion Township. I am addressing you here tonight because I have a very unique perspective on these regulations. My family has owned and operated the farm at that location in Marion Township for approximately 150 years. We've owned, operated that farm, and a lot of our respective heritage comes from that unique perspective.

In case you've never seen one, these are historic documents. Can you all hear me? These are historic documents. It's called an abstract of title. It traces the history of the land. And some of the earliest documents in this bundle go back to 1842. So I have a little different perspective on land and land use in Buchanan County.

I'm also a lawyer. I practice law in Houston, Texas. I'm not a land-use expert, but I have read plenty of legal documents. So my perspective on what these documents mean, where we're going, why regulation is important, is perhaps a little bit different from some other people that are standing in the room.

There's no doubt that renewable energy is the future. We have to look forward to something other than what we've done in the past. I want to be clear that

I'm not against wind energy. I think it's a good thing. However, I am for responsible regulation of what that industry is and how it is conducted in our county. Your role in this process as the Planning and Zoning Commission is especially vital today. If you ask why, let me tell you.

There is a myriad of federal regulation regarding wind energy and other areas such as the environment. However, in Missouri, there is no overarching regulation or state agency that governs wind energy in our state. For that reason, you serve a vital role, because you are the first step when a developer comes to our county to try to protect not only property owners, but property values. So it's very, very important.

In case you didn't know, NextEra, who is looking at developing our county, is a publicly traded company. There's a wealth of information about publicly traded companies available on the Internet. They file something called a $10-\mathrm{K}$ every single year talking about their financial health and condition. There is a portion of their $10-\mathrm{K}$ that is behind Tab 13 in your binder in case you don't know what that is or have never seen a 10-K. The true document is about 150 pages. I only reproduced about 13 pages. But it gives you a snapshot of what the company is, what it does and where
it operates.
Large publicly traded companies are capable of funding years, if not decades, of aggressive litigation with nationally known law firms against individual owners, townships, counties and even states. If there's any question about that, then you just need to look to our eastern neighbors in Washington Township in Clinton County. If something like that scares or intimidates you, it really shouldn't. To the contrary, it should solidify your resolve to critically examine the proposed regulations that are before you. It reveals who and what you are dealing with. You need to square up, be cautious, ask questions, ask a lot of questions, ask tough questions. And if the answers don't make sense, keep asking the questions.

Perhaps the first question people should be asking is, Are there potential undisclosed conflicts of interest? If you've discussed this, fantastic. That's great. Because that's the way good governance rules. If you haven't, you need to ask questions.

For example, $I$ really don't expect you to raise your hands or answer me tonight. But have you discussed if anyone has family employed by the wind turbine industry that's on this Board? Does anybody have stock in a publicly traded wind turbine company? Does anybody
own property under contract with a wind turbine company? Has anyone sought representation by the law firms representing the wind turbine companies? I would also urge you to check out the Missouri Ethics Commission and filings there. Some of that information could be very, very revealing.

Disclosing potential conflicts of interest are necessary to determine who should be allowed to participate in the discussion, deliberation and debate over the proposed regulations. So I have a series of visuals that I prepared to share with you tonight. Those of you that have binders, you have smaller versions of these visuals behind Tab 1 in your binders. If you want to follow along with me, feel free to do so. Some of the larger visuals are somewhat pixilated because they were blown up. So the first poster is behind Tab 1.

During the January 2019 meeting, NextEra came before you and told you they were interested in developing land in Buchanan County. (Timer)

Is that my timer, Your Honor?
MODERATOR SHAFER: That is your five minutes. Now, we can approach this one of two ways if I can get the timer turned off. We can approach this one or two ways. I can extend your time by a couple more minutes and
allow you to submit anything you want to the Planning Commission and make it a part of the record as I initially indicated. If you want to speak further, you're welcome to come back tomorrow evening or if we finish before 9:00 tonight and everybody's finished, you may continue. But it's not really fair to have everyone be limited to five minutes approximately and offer you a half an hour, or whatever it looks like to me you're probably thinking. Is that what you're thinking?

MS. McGUIRE: I don't have a particular time in mind, Your Honor.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Yes.
MS. McGUIRE: But I would like to address these issues. I think some of the issues that $I$ have in my presentation address questions that the Planning and Zoning Commission had asked earlier on based on my review of their minutes both from January 2019 and during a public hearing that was conducted in September of 2019. So I think the issues are out there. Some of these are directly responsive to issues that they asked. I appreciate there's a lot of people that have opinions on this. I'm happy to continue. I can hit on a couple of high points that are regulation specific. However, there's a lot of information here, I will admit.

MODERATOR SHAFER: I can see that there is. But it
is information you can submit in written form to the Commission, is that right? Is that right?

MS. McGUIRE: Some of it, yes. But some of it definitely needs explanation.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Do you want to see how things go throughout the evening or into tomorrow evening? If we have enough time, come back and I can give you more time at the end.

MS. McGUIRE: If Your Honor would allow me, I have a couple of minutes to skip to one specific section on regulation.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Granted.
MS. McGUIRE: Then I could come back tomorrow night if the Planning and Zoning Commission would so allow, and I can follow up on some of these other issues that I have in my presentation.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Two minutes allowed, thank you. MS. McGUIRE: Very good. Thank you, Your Honor. MODERATOR SHAFER: You're welcome. Thank you.

MS. McGUIRE: All right. So without further ado, let's skip over to one of the biggest issues that I am gravely concerned with regarding regulation in this county, and that is decommissioning. It is not something that gets a lot of discussion, but it is very vital in terms of maintaining property values and
putting a system in place to make sure that whatever operator comes in here, NextEra or otherwise, is responsible for what they leave behind in the county.

In the current regulations, the decommissioning and
restoration falls under Roman Number XI. So in your books that I've presented to you, if you look at Tabs 5 through 9, most of those are articles or other studies that deal with decommissioning of commercial wind turbine systems. And there's also some legislation from Texas that is fairly recent legislation. Texas is a leader in the wind power industry. That legislation I think is very vital for you to look at because there are specific contract provisions in Texas that cannot be waived by the operator or the individual landowner with respect to the decommissioning process. So once again, this is a way for you to take steps to protect the landowners in Buchanan County with respect to any wind turbine development.

I would also like to point out that I think the costs of decommissioning are far higher than what has been represented in the past. During the September 2019 meeting, it was represented to this body that the cost of decommissioning was approximately $\$ 25,000$ per turbine. I would take that with a big grain of salt. If you look at Tabs 9 and 10 in your book, those are
both submissions regarding decommissioning. One of them is a February 2011 letter from Excel Energy. In that letter to the Minnesota Regulatory Agency, their estimate was a cost of $\$ 445,000$ per turbine to decommission. That's quite a bit different from $\$ 25,000$.

The second article is behind Tab 10. That is an independent study done about a New York project completed back in August of 2019. That turbine project was comprised of 117 turbines. And that estimate -- the overall estimate for decommissioning the entire project of 117 turbines, if you include removing access roads, operations and maintenance buildings, was approximately 18.2 million.
(Timer) There it goes. I could spend a lot of time speaking with you. I do have a lot of material. I'll be happy to come back again tomorrow night. I thank you all for taking the opportunity to have a public hearing and listen to everyone's comments. This is a vital issue that we all need to address. Thank you again.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you so very much, Ms. McGuire. Joel Worsham.

JOEL WORSHAM
MODERATOR SHAFER: Please state your name and where
you reside.
MR. WORSHAM: Thank you. My name is Joel Worsham. And I live at 1141 Notheast Davis Road, Easton, which is in Marion Township. Helping me is my wife Cindy. We've been married for, $I$ don't know, 41 years, something like that. We own 50 acres out here. We built our house about 25 years ago. We really -- we like Buchanan County and we're pretty good residents, we think, and try to pay our taxes and be good citizens. We want to thank you for the opportunity to present to you guys some of our concerns and thoughts that we've been working on the proposed regulations of Draft Three. And I've done some editing on that.

My job history is $I$ was a sales rep in the sporting goods business for 38 years. I retired a couple of years ago. Primarily the last several years I was managing an ammunition business for a major ammunition company for the law enforcement business. I actually sold Buchanan County and St. Joe Police Department their ammunition. So I only pray that they don't ever have to use it.

From a personal point of view, the concerns that I've got is I'm not against renewables at all. A number of my neighbors have got solar panels. I kind of wish I did, because they tell me they don't have to pay any
electricity bills and we do. But the concerns that I've got is the quality of life that we're going to have handed to us if a wind energy system comes through.

My point of view is I think that our county is way too urban for this type of a project. It has a huge visual footprint from a long way. Putting it in perspective, if you take a look at the KQ2 Tower, the TV tower, it's 587 feet. These turbines are 600. I had lunch yesterday at Fazoli's and that's about a quarter of a mile from the KQ Tower. You've got to look like this, and that's a quarter of a mile away. So it's a huge impression on your visual. From our deck at night, we see the turbines over at Osborn and over toward Maysville. The lights blink every three seconds. It's got a huge footprint on us.

I brought this little sign, we had this little sign made. How would you like this to be your house? It gives you the perspective of how many feet from that guy's house each one of those turbines are. And if you look at -- we're looking at a half-mile setback, I think, is what is in the regulation. 3,900 feet from that guy's house to that one turbine right there 2,900 . The thing is pretty big. And if you've got 100 of them on the landscape around you, it's got a lot of impression to you.

So I'll give you a binder. I'll also give you a thumb drive that's got full presentations on it. I've got partials in the binder. So if you want to take a look, what $I$ did was $I$ highlighted in yellow the things that are a concern to me. Then I post questions or issues in the bluish print.

Looking through, there's property values, impact studies. I've included six of them back in the deal. You can easily get them on the web. I also got one from a guy named Mike McCann, who's been referenced already tonight, who presented down in Clinton County. He had a PowerPoint that's pretty powerful. He shows that without a doubt, that turbines, depending on the proximity to your land, has a great devaluation depending on the distance, 15 to 40 percent, which is huge. My wife and I are going to be -- I'm 69. We're going to be looking at retiring in town, and sometime in the future we'll want to sell our place. Taking a 40 percent hit, we don't know what our neighbors are going to do. There could be one right across the road from my place for all I know. But taking a 40 percent decrease on the valuation of my property is a huge hit through no cause of my own. I didn't do anything. The funds that we saved to pay for our place when we sell it should help see us through our golden years. And that can be
dramatically impacted by what happens here in this progression.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Mr. Worsham, your time has expired. I want to thank you for the written information you've submitted. You may submit a copy to the court reporter so that it may be part of the record of tonight's hearing.

MR. WORSHAM: So I got the hook? I understand. Thank you. Thank you very much for your service to our county. I appreciate you looking through these tabs that I provided for you. There's a lot of information in here. And you'll hear from a lot of other folks I'm sure.

Just in closing, we don't think it's a good fit for our county for reasons that I stated, and others. There's issues of wildlife, eagles. I saw an eagle today in the Platte River bottoms soaring around, okay? The regulations say two miles from an eagle's nest. Well, eagles aren't nesting right now. They're flying around. What happens if one of those gets killed? The turbine -- we would probably never know it.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, Mr. Worsham. Could I ask you please, if you will give to the court reporter whatever you have for the Planning Commission? Thank you, sir. Thank you.

David Laderout.

## DAVID LADEROUT

MR. LADEROUT: Your Honor, thank you for saying my name properly.

MODERATOR SHAFER: You're welcome, sir. I'm familiar with the Laderout name.

MR. LADEROUT: Well, we're all cousins --
Your Honor, members of the Commission and the Zoning Board members, I just have a couple of things, so I won't probably get the hook tonight. I don't have a lot of handouts. I just have some words to say to you. I rise in opposition to the wind energy systems in our county period. I do so as an energy economist, an energy engineer and a conservationist. I have over 40 years working in the utility industry, and I helped on system planning pertaining to tens of thousands of megawatts of energy systems.

Wind energy systems are very much like a drug. On one side we've got the government, particularly the federal government, and other organizations feeding money into the system. And if that money weren't there, the wind energy systems for the most part wouldn't be there.

On the other side we've got a situation where the drug money is flowing out to the communities,
particularly if they to schools and stuff, as an enticement to invite these wind energy systems to come in and locate in the community.

So that's my high horse. I've got three particular areas I wanted to focus on. First of all, administration help on page 5 of the proposed Zoning Draft Three, This ordinance shall be administered by the county Planning and Zoning inspector code enforcement official. I think that there needs to be some technical assistance associated with this, someone who's got experience working with wind energy systems or at least has a background strongly in the utility industry, perhaps as an electrical engineer.

Number two. I find the 600-foot limit a little bit baffling. Number one, because it wasn't in any of the prior drafts and it just popped up in this draft without any type of public notice or hearing that I'm aware of. I think the 600 feet is too high. I also am a bit concerned about the proportion of the regulations, this is on page 13, that allows for someone to come in and get a zoning variance for a higher level. So I'd be real concerned somebody coming in at 700 and then 800 and 900 and so on. As the gentleman said a few moments ago, the $K Q$ Tower is 700 feet tall, 600 feet tall, excuse me. And I believe that's a bit much. I think if
we're going to have zoning for wind energy systems, we need to rethink that.

The final area $I$ wanted to speak about is the area of penalties on page 15. I find $\$ 500$ per occurrence for a system that's going to be millions and millions and millions of dollars, sadly on the low side. If you go out and get a DWI, it's going to cost you more than \$500 in fines and by the time -- there you go, I got a smile on that gentleman. By the time it's all said and done, I know I've got expense. Okay? By the time it's all said and done, you're going to spend thousands and thousands of dollars with your insurance company, your lawyer, your fines and so on. So I'd appreciate it if you would take a look at that $\$ 500$. I think that's a bit on the low side.

That's all I have to say. Thank you very, very much, ladies and gentlemen, for your attention and your work on this. Your Honor, Commissioners, thank you. MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you. Thank you Mr. Laderout. John Slayton.

MR. SLAYTON: No. No.
MODERATOR SHAFER: I'm sorry?
PUBLIC MEMBER: He just signed in.
MR. SLAYTON: I'm not talking.
PUBLIC MEMBER: He and the next three people -- the
next two people with that last name.
MODERATOR SHAFER: And that would include Marsha and Margaret, right?

PULIC MEMBER: Yes.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Barry Birr, B-I-R-R. Do you wish to speak, sir?

MR. BIRR: Yes, please.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Please come up.

## BARRY BIRR

MR. BIRR: My name is Barry Birr. I live at 3 Shadow Ridge, Easton 64443. It's in Marion Township. I am one of the founders of the citizens' group Friends of Buchanan County. I thank you for this public hearing.

Our group opposes commercial wind energy development in Buchanan County because of the county's population density and because of potential negative impacts on economic development, quality of life and overall property values. We are asking you members of the Planning and Zoning Commission to ban commercial wind power plants in Buchanan County. And we're asking the County Commissioners to join you in this. Our neighbors in Clinton and Caldwell Counties have done this. And NextEra has withdrawn its legal action contesting Clinton County's right to do so.

But if Buchanan County leaves the door open for wind power plants by proceeding with this ordinance, we ask that you take specific steps to protect the citizens you represent. Our group is asking for a minimum one-mile setback between wind projects and property lines. We believe this is necessary to protect the property and quality of life of the neighbors of wind power plants. We've heard it said that a one-mile setback is too restrictive, that no building site here would meet those requirements. Well, if so, that should be a message that unlike less populated communities, Buchanan County is not suitable for this kind of development.

This third draft of the zoning ordinance for wind energy conversion systems calls for a minimum setback that included a half mile from property lines. This is a change from the first two drafts, and it is a step in the right direction. But there are also provisions for quarter-mile setbacks from habitable structures belonging to nonparticipating landowners and a quarter-mile from all rural schools. What are those doing in there if a half-mile setback from property lines is the minimum?

There seems to be a loophole in the provisions. At the top of page 8, Section 1, second sentence, If there
are particular setbacks that a WECS permit applicant believes are too restrictive or that cause hardships for the project, Buchanan County will review specific locations at the applicant's expense to determine whether a modified setback would be appropriate on a case-by-case basis. What good are requirements if they're negotiable? I thought one of the arguments for specific ordinances was to avoid case-by-case decisions. I urge our Planning and Zoning Commission members and our County Commissioners to nail that down and make sure a half-mile property line setback can't be compromised.

I also have a question about the property values impact study called for in the permit application contents on page 6 at item number 10. If the applicant does the study, are the results credible? Will the applicant admit that the project might harm neighbors? Common sense tells you that people are not likely to build houses and schools and businesses and churches under turbines. Real estate agents tell you all the time it's all about location, location, location. If you had a choice, would you build or buy a house under a turbine? And if the answer is no, you recognize that these locations are less desirable, which means these properties are less valuable. It may be true that turbines increase the value of a property they're built
on, at least temporarily.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Excuse me, sir, you have --
MR. BIRR: Not so fortunate is the neighbor who gets all the negatives and none of the benefits. The setbacks also include a one-mile buffer around so-called natural areas like conservation areas. This is good. My only question would be, if ducks, geese and wild plants deserve a one-mile setback, should people on their own property get anything less?

Also on setbacks, St. Joseph would have a two-mile buffer around it, which I support. But other cities, towns and villages in the county would only get a quarter-mile. Are smaller communities less important?

On page 11, the provisions deal with measures to reduce the visual impact of wind turbines. The fact that this section is here at all is an admission that these gigantic machines change the landscape and somehow they need to be toned down. But there's no cammo paint for wind turbines. How do you hide a machine 60 stories tall topped by a spinning rotor the size of a football field? You don't hide it. You can't. Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Excuse me, sir, your five minutes. Thank you very much for your consideration, sir. Thank you.

Lynn Anderson.

## LYNN ANDERSON

MR. ANDERSON: I'm Lynn Anderson at 2866 Northeast 110th Road, Easton, Missouri in Marion Township.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, sir.
MR. ANDERSON: You're welcome. Buchanan County Planning and Zoning Board and Commissioners, and fellow Buchanan County landowners, I'm Lynn Anderson and I'd like to share some of my thoughts this evening. I'm a lifelong resident of Buchanan County. As a landowner, I understand and desire landowner rights. I want to have a choice in the use of our property. As a farmer, I understand the economics of a profession that does not provide a surplus of cash flow. I had a front-row seat in the arenas of the 1980s farm crisis.

I saw hard-working well-managed family farms suffer from a degrading farm economy. The result, the lesson learned, was to have some sort of cash flow income that would prevent financial vulnerability. But as tempting as the income would be from installed wind turbines, I think there are several reasons to avoid having wind turbines as an income source.

My grandparents, Emmett and Ruby Nold, farmed 90 acres, the 90-acre farm north of Moffatt Nursery during the depression, and were able to hold onto it during the
depression of the 30 s. They did custom grain thrashing, truck gardening and had a dairy, all of which provided cash flow. During my life, I observed my grandpa keeping his farm intact while neighboring farms were sold, divided and new numerous neighbors moved in sharing their children and dogs. I saw Grandpa transition to enjoy these new neighbors, thus making new memories. I later found out, usually at funerals, the positive memories these children had on my grandpa's farm.

On February 8, 1994 around 4:30 PM, our aging farmhouse caught on fire. As we were scurrying about, several of our neighbors -- several are seated here tonight, stopped by on their way home after their work day to help empty the house. This was taking place where our neighborhood volunteer fire department was getting in position to stop the fire. Again, I'm sharing the value of financial prudence and the value of relationships.

So now as a farmer/landowner/neighbor, I'd like to share my opinion about wind turbines. I like alternative energy. We have three solar systems installed which provides us with utility savings and electric utilities with usable electricity. We are interested -- we are invested in ethanol plants which
provide fuel alternatives to foreign oil, which ethanol is made from a plant-based material which can be raised on our land year every year. I have researched wind turbines like most major purchases I have made. I've asked friends and acquaintances who are owners. And I need to admit that I do have friends that have wind turbines and I have friends that do not own wind turbines, but they live very close to the installed turbines. Much is to be learned when you listen to friends.

I'll summarize in close with two more points. After talking to various landowners who have wind turbines, combined with my experience of over half a mile of waterline leases and over a mile of petroleum line lease, $I$ don't see wind turbines as a good fit on our land. The potential income is not worth the permanent change done to our fertile soils and our open ridges on our farms.

I've also seen negative effects on neighbor relationships where wind turbines were not wanted. And I certainly don't want to do that to my neighbors.

My lost point, which is an offer, I'm willing to connect anyone interested in wind turbines to visit wind turbine owners that are not fully satisfied and regret installing it. These owners live in Missouri. I was
fortunate enough to be on their property having an open and candid conversation about their experiences which I learned would cause them not to install any more wind turbines. My offer is to coordinate and escort eligible Buchanan County landowners to an open discussion about all aspects of wind turbine ownership.

Our Friends of Buchanan County group is also in the process of making a checklist of questions to ask prior to any contract signing, thus giving you the opportunity to know as much as possible before making your final decision.

After all, having the best possible relationship with neighbors has a value that money can't purchase. Thank you.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, Mr. Anderson. Mrs. Anderson, Rhonda Anderson. You may proceed.

## RHONDA ANDERSON

RHONDA ANDERSON: Thank you. Good evening. My
name is Rhonda Anderson. I live at 2866 Northeast 110th
Road, Easton. I have an Easton address, reside in the St. Joe School District and have been a Buchanan County voter for 32 years. Thank you for this opportunity to speak to the Buchanan County Planning and Zoning Commission and Commissioners.

I have seen industrial wind plants go up around my
hometown and around our state and country in various places. Now since this has come up in our area, I have been educating myself about wind turbines. Someone once said you can find anything online to support your opinions, but that is when you continue researching to find the facts. Many of the residents of Buchanan County have been just that as you have heard, and we continue to hear on the research of the effects of the wind turbines.

This has been a subject that makes me scratch my head and ask myself, Why would we want wind turbines? will say, most of the time when something doesn't make sense, follow the money. This is not an exception.

Let's not fall into the trap of these wind turbines. There is enough research from other counties who have them for us to know it is not a good fit for our county.

Now, I would like to refer to the third draft of the proposed wind energy ordinance. I'm asking for a one-mile setback from property lines, one-mile setback from schools and a two-mile setback from cities, towns, villages, the same as St. Joseph.

Planning and Zoning Board, Commissioner Sawyer, Commissioner Hook, Commissioner Burnham, this is under your watch. You have been put in this leadership for
such a time as this to help protect our county. And I also would like to thank you, and I would like to leave the ordinance. And I've highlighted some of the items I would like for you guys to look at again and hopefully change. Thank you.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you. Gale Grable. Gale Grable, G-R-A-B-L-E. Nancy Grable. Gale Grable and Nancy Grable will be passed.

Debra Kretzer. Debra Kretzer.
MS. KRETZER: Your Honor, I'm not interested in speaking.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you very much for attending. Richard Kretzer, is your position the same circumstance?

MR. KRETZER: Yeah. I'm just here to listen.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Yes, sir. Thank you. Donna Kneib.

MS. KNEIB: I don't wish to speak.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Mike Simon. Yes, sir, Mr. Simon.

MR. SIMON: Thank you, Your Honor.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Yes, sir. Thank you.
MIKE SIMON
MR. SIMON: My name is Mike Simon. I live at 4711 Northeast State Route $P$ in Marion Township. Ladies and
gentlemen of Planning and Zoning Board, thank you for your service. Through your volunteering, you have taken on responsibility of protecting Buchanan County residents whether it be public health, safety and welfare as well as the use and enjoyment of property and its underlying value. I repeat, underlying value. Before I continue, I just want to say this. I'm not opposed to green renewable energy. My wife and I have recently installed a 25 KW solar energy system which none of my neighbors would know if I had not told them. They are not intrusive.

Now, moving forward, the value of mine and my neighbors' properties are of concern to me, and it is this topic I would like to discuss. Residential property values versus industrial wind turbine projects.

As a past homebuilder, I have built homes in the city limits and in rural Buchanan County. It is my experience that when building outside the city in rural Buchanan County, the homes that I built were in the upper price range, thus commanding higher property taxes. I believe the additions of wind turbines in our county would have adverse affects on this trend. As I did my research, I found mostly data reflecting the drop in property values with respect to industrial wind turbines. From the many articles and documents I have
found, because of time constraints $I$ will only refer to one.

A study of effects of industrial wind turbines to property value was ordered by the Planning and Zoning Commissions of Adams County, Illinois. The firm they hired was, and I'm sure you've heard this name, McCann Appraisal LLC. This firm is highly respected and its credentials are outstanding. It is a document $I$ will be referring to. It is an 82 -page comprehensive study. I have copies of the first 18 pages for the Board members' convenience.

Once again, because of time constraints, I will only comment on only a few of the bullet points of this study. McCann points out three potential effects turbine contamination can have on real property values; cost effects, use effects and risk, or stigma effects. I want to speak to two of these.

Use effects include the loss of peaceful use and enjoyment of their homesteads for many turbine neighbors. Also there is more and more evidence that livestock are adversely impacted by the noise and vibration from wind turbines, as are horses, dairy cattle and some household pets.

Risk effects or stigma effects, this can range from loss of aesthetics, diminished views and character of
neighborhoods and communities to the fear of health issues and noise disturbances. This effect is when turbine stigma manifests itself in a lack of marketability of homes in the footprint and properties in close proximity to active turbines. You, as Buchanan County government officials, are tasked with creating policies and land-use decisions intended to prevent significant negative impacts on property values and a peaceful use and enjoyment of existing properties by area residents.

Some quick bullet points. McCann's firm suggested a two-mile setback for property lines for Adams County. All real estate sales data $I$ found reveals a range of 25 to 40 percent loss of property values in highly populated counties, like Buchanan County, when industrial wind turbine farms are allowed. Anything less than a two-mile setback, property value impacts are likely to occur.

The approval of wind turbine projects within close proximity to occupied homes is tantamount to an adverse condemnation or regulatory taking of private property rights as the noise and impacts are in some respects a physical invasion. This has a direct impact on reduced property values and the rights of nearby neighbors.

And one last point. By my estimate and based on
the cost of my 25 KW solar panel system, I believe 40 to 45 households could get a system like ours for the cost of one industrial wind turbine. Buchanan County is a first class county. Do we really want to jeopardize this label because of industrial wind turbines? My wife and I have 675 acres, but I will not allow these monstrosities on my property. And I will not betray my neighbors for 30 pieces of silver.

My desire would be an outright ban of industrial wind turbine projects, and at the very least a one-mile setback from property lines, no exception. And once again, thank you for your service.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, sir. Angela Klein. Ms. Klein, you may proceed.

MS. KLEIN: All right.

## ANGELA KLEIN

MS. KLEIN: Thank you for allowing my voice to be heard in the proposed Draft 3 of the Zoning Ordinance for Wind Systems. Let me introduce myself. My name is Angela Klein and I reside at 4453 Northeast 110th Road in Easton, Missouri. I am a descendant of one of the first families to arrive in a covered wagon and decide to make Buchanan County their home. They homesteaded a section of land east of $S t$. Joseph, which is one mile square, or 640 acres. The reason was because it
reminded them of their homeland and the abundance of fresh water, wildlife and fertile ground. And I am proud of my legacy. My grandparents, Charles and Blanche Kessler, raised me to respect the land that we are caretakers for only a brief moment in time, and that when we leave this world, we leave it as best we can for our children.

I now own the last 80 acres of the family homestead, which is why I am here to speak tonight. The purpose of the proposed Draft Three Zoning Ordinance for Wind Systems states, and I quote, "The purpose of this ordinance is to preserve and protect the public health and safety without significantly increasing or decreasing the efficiency of a wind energy conversion system to allow for the orderly development of land, protect property values and aesthetic conditions and enable the continuation of agriculture operations within the county."

Should not the main priority of the ordinance read, The purpose of this ordinance is to protect, preserve the health and safety, property rights and property values of Buchanan County citizens? Because you cannot preserve and protect public health and safety of people with 600-foot industrial wind turbines in close proximity to homes, schools and places of worship of

Buchanan County citizens.
I do not believe industrial wind turbines are the answer to the energy crisis, and evolving new technology will be a safer and cleaner option when you take the construction and decommissioning costs and carbon footprint of industrial wind turbines.

In the ordinance it states that shadow flicker and/or noise levels exceed the maximum limit allowed onto the property of a nonparticipating landowner, and they choose not to sign a waiver or accept compensation, will the companies still be allowed to operate industrial wind turbines? What about their property rights, let alone their property value? All noise, DBA and shadow flicker should be contained on the property of the lessee holder and not be allowed to use a nonparticipant's land to accomplish the placement of industrial wind turbines. One more reason a one-mile setback from property lines should be applied to the ordinance.

There is a relatively new noise DBA sound abatement called wind trailing noise abatement that I read about, which attaches to the blades of industrial wind turbines to reduce the noise DBA levels. Also require wind turbines to be a mile setback -- sorry. Wind turbines should be shut down from 10:00 PM to 7:00 AM. Again, I
want to state, it needs to have a one-mile property line setback.

Shadow flicker. Up to 4,900 feet before it is negligible so, again, another good reason for a one-mile setback from property line. If an industrial turbine cannot be sited and contained on a participating property owner's land without somehow infringing on a nonparticipant's land, then it is not a good fit for Buchanan County.

If you indeed stand behind the property rights and property values of its citizens, how does the county propose to preserve the aesthetic conditions of rural Buchanan County? 600-foot industrial wind turbines are not easily hidden. On a clear day, I can see DeKalb County's wind turbines, which are over 10 miles away from my deck, looking east to northeast. At night, the blinking red lights that $I$ see from dusk to dawn are irritating and obscure and pollute my peaceful view.

There is alternative lighting available to alleviate the constant blinking by requiring the use of radar based aircraft detection lighting systems. I believe it was stated that the lighting was cost prohibitive. If the county was looking out for citizens' best interests, should they not require the best solutions for obvious problems? North Dakota now
requires that system on all WECSes.
In conclusion, I have spent many long hours knocking on my neighbors' doors and talking to them about the petition for a one-mile setback from property lines. I acquired over a hundred signatures. And in talking with them, many of the residents who signed the petition wanted an outright ban on industrial turbines and wanted a petition to do just that. They did not feel it was a good fit for Buchanan County.

Now, I will tell you that I am, and I will be, a nonparticipant property owner. I will not give my consent. I will not take any reimbursement, nor will I sign any agreement for any noise DBA or shadow flicker on my home or my land. I choose to protect and preserve my property rights. That will be my legacy. I now ask you to ask yourself as you debate this ordinance, what will your legacy be? Thank you.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you.
MS. KLEIN: I also included a North Dakota farm lighting technology. I also indicated the 4,921 feet before wind flicker is not affected -- the next people. I also include a South Dakota approval on that wind trailing noise abatement. And I also included something about wind flicker health issues for anybody with vertigo. Thank you.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, Ms. Klein.
MS. KLEIN: Thank you. John Klein.

## JOHN KLEIN

MR. KLEIN: Good evening.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Good evening, sir.
MR. KLEIN: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. My name is John Klein, and I reside at 4453 Northeast 110th Road located in Marion Township, the initial area targeted for installation of the wind turbines. I'm sure you hear lots of manner of opinions and complaints on the prospect of wind turbines being installed in Buchanan County. But I would like to address what I feel are some of the inadequate aspects of the Draft Three Wind Ordinance Conversion Ordinance that the county is presently presenting to its citizens. Because of time constraints, I will only focus on a few, but the remaining items will be noted, and a copy of the ordinance that I will leave with you. I hope you'll read the copy and make comments and hopefully take some of them into consideration on the next draft.

On page 4, item B-2, Section 4 in the General Requirements. It states that the movement of 300 feet or less to approved locations of wind turbines and other elements of the wind project are allowed without the need of county reapproval. I would like to see the
following added to the ordinance: That providing such movements do not place the turbines or other elements of the project closer to the property line of any nonparticipating landowner.

On page 8 regarding the setbacks as listed in Table 1 under Section 7 Design Standards and Criteria, the setbacks for rural schools must be more than the 1,320 feet listed in the ordinance. I'm sure that the majority of the people in the gallery behind me would love to see an outright ban on industrial wind turbines in the county. But realizing that the county must have some ordinance on record, I would like them to impose a one-mile setback from the property lines of schools and all nonparticipating landowners.

In the same table, the ordinance calls for a setback of 1,320 feet from other smaller towns in the county. I believe that these communities should be entitled to the same two-mile setback that St. Joseph was awarded. Although these smaller towns do not have the political clout or the financial resources that St. Joseph may have, I'm sure that they would expect to have the same right to establish their own setback as St. Joseph has received.

On page 12 of the ordinance, it states that collection system may be placed overhead adjacent to
county roadways near substations or points of intersection to the grid or in other areas necessary. Although the height of the tower poles is not addressed in the ordinance, I would like to see the maximum height of 40 feet from ground level and not the 75 foot steel power poles similar to the ones located along 36 Highway between I-29 and Riverside Road.

On page 13, under Section 7 on the height, the ordinance calls for a maximum height of 600 feet. I would like to see the height reduced to 300 feet to the center of the hub. 600 feet is a bit excessive. Why is Buchanan County allowing 600-feet industrial wind turbines to be built while our DeKalb neighbors have 500-foot turbines and the Atchison County neighbors have 350-foot turbines?

The items I have spoken about are just a few of the items which I feel still need to be addressed. There are several more aspects that I believe should have some added language to alleviate any gray areas. Again, I'll leave you a copy of my concerns and notations on the items that I spoke about and the other items that I have questions about.

One last thing. On page 11, part 2B of the ordinance states, The design of the WECS buildings and related structures shall, to the extent reasonably
possible, use materials, colors, textures, screening and landscaping that will blend facility components with a natural setting in the existing environment. Ladies and gentleman, there is absolutely nothing natural about a 600-foot industrial wind turbine. Thank you.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, sir. Virginia W-E-I --

MS. WEIGUM: Weigum.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Weigum, I'm sorry, ma'am.
MS. WEIGUM: That's okay.
MODERATOR SHAFER: I need to get these glasses changed plus cleaned.

## VIRGINIA WEIGUM

MS. WEIGUM: Good evening. I hope you brought your sleeping bags. My name is Virginia Weigum, 5113 Mockingbird Lane, which is in Stonecrest. Since the wind turbines are so tall, they will impact property values for miles. The lights flash every three seconds at night. This is a concern for the whole county. And there's a big conflict of interest. Mr. Seth Wright has a conflict between NextEra, the school district and the wind farms. And he should recuse himself or the Commissioners should recuse him. And thank you for your time.

And I pray that the answer is no like Clinton

County. We've worked all of our lives for our value. If $I$ can see those from my front door, every -- all 600 homes in Stonecrest are going to go down in value. They also will stop the reception at Heartland Hospital, at the armory on Faraon and Beck Road -- Faraon and Woodbine, which receives worldwide communications to protect us. And also for Rosecrans. If you live in the county, if you live out in the country, the only thing you get is a land line. Everything else is distorted.

And why are we subsidizing our tax dollars to build something so that a county can receive one million dollars a year, and they've made that on the back of property owners? And it's just like our Lord was betrayed for 30 pieces of silver. Thank you for your time.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, Ms. Weigum. Jan Johnson or Sidney Johnson.

MS. JOHNSON: No, thank you.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you for being here.
Anita Simon.
MS. SIMON: Thank you.
MODERATOR SHAFER: You may proceed, Ms. Simon.

## ANITA SIMON

MS. SIMON: Hello. Thank you for allowing us to do this. We really appreciate it. My name is Anita Simon.

I live in Buchanan County, Marion Township at 4711 Northeast State Route P, Clarksdale, Missouri 64430. I really am appreciative of you all because I know you volunteer your time, and $I$ know this is not easy for you. So thank you again.

First I want to disclose that I am representing both myself and as a landowner in Marion Township and as a member of Friends of Buchanan County, which is an advocacy group that we formed after our Township Commissioner informed us about the possibility of a wind farm being located in Buchanan County. This group is simply a group of neighbors who reside in Marion Township and have a mutual interest in maintaining the beauty and integrity of our community. We are not affiliated with any national group or any group from any other county.

I would like to begin with stating our group doesn't believe wind turbines are a good fit for this county. We think a ban on industrial wind power plants is the right option for Buchanan County. Wind energy may work for lightly populated counties where the power plants sprawl over hundreds of thousands of acres. But they are not compatible with best land use in our rural neighborhoods on the doorstep of a densely populated urban center.

However, because we have been told by the Commissioners that regulations are needed to regulate placement of turbines in the county, we are recommending a one-mile setback from property lines for any nonparticipant as a fair and reasonable request to help protect us from those negative affects. And we have been collecting petition signatures since September. And currently we have over 640 signatures, and I have these right here. We are continuing to collect signatures, so what I'll leave with you tonight is a list of what we have thus far.

On a personal level, I grew up on a farm in Marion Township with a view of Seven Dolors Church at Hurlingen. I went to school at Bessie Ellison Elementary, and I have known many of the people in the area for most of my life. My father was a conservationist, and he put in terraces and waterways and built many ponds to prevent erosion and protect the land as well as provide pleasing aesthetics. My parents taught me to conserve, and not to waste. They taught me to think beyond just today.

I don't see 60-story wind turbines protecting the land, but destroying the land and our beautiful horizons forever. This visual pollution will be intrusive for every neighbor within five miles. We can see the
turbines in DeKalb County by day and their blinking red lights at night, which are over 10 miles from our home. And those turbines are 100 feet shorter than what is proposed here and do not sit on a ridge.

Another concern I have is for the future economic growth of St. Joseph. I believe that this will be a permanent blight to the local economy and the town of St. Joseph, and will necessarily stop all future growth. As I have concern for my neighborhood, I also have concern for my extended community of St. Joseph. I grew up here and I love St. Joseph. I wonder who will want to move here if turbines are placed in the county's best asset.

The hospital and other companies certainly use our rural area as a recruiting tool for physicians and professionals that want to have an opportunity to live in a rural area if it comes available. The vision of St. Joseph leadership is to grow St. Joseph to the east. Many people have a dream of buying land and living in the country. Someday I will be gone and my land will be sold to someone else who will be able to fill their dream of quiet country living. We live here because we love the quiet, we love the beauty and we love our neighbors.

My husband and I own 675 acres, and regardless of
what anyone else chooses, we will not put a turbine on any part of our land. I could not and would not do something that could harm my neighbor. We are friends with our neighbors, both large and small landowners, and we help each other and care about each other. My neighbors aren't just people I share boundary lines with. They are five miles away from me. They are the town of St. Joseph and they are Buchanan County. This is a generational decision that can't be undone, and there are many variables that can affect each person here. Money can be very enticing and an incentive for any decision, but it should never be the only decision for choices made.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Excuse me, Ms. Simon, you have 25 seconds left.

MS. SIMON: Okay, thank you. I'm just about done. MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you.

MS. SIMON: I don't want this to be the legacy we leave for our farm community, city and county, and I hope that those making the final decisions on this will conclude the same. I want to reiterate a request for an outright ban of industrial wind turbines in this county, but at the very least, I do request a one-mile setback from property lines for nonparticipants, including towns, schools, fire stations, etc. I would offer that
regulations should not be crafted to suit NextEra or any wind company or for the sake of making money for the county or schools and a few landowners, but be formulated to first protect all its citizens who are here and live here. Thank you for your time. I appreciate it.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Simon.

MS. SIMON: Thank you.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Cindy Worsham.
MS. WORSHAM: My husband already spoke on our behalf, so I pass.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Pardon me?
MS. WORSHAM: My husband already spoke on our behalf.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you so much for coming. Terri Hausman.

MS. HAUSMAN: Pass.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Did you say pass?
MS. HAUSMAN: Yes.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you. LeRoy Hausman.
MR. HAUSMAN: Pass.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Leslie Speiser.
LESLIE SPEISER
MODERATOR SHAFER: You may proceed when you're
ready, ma'am.
MS. SPEISER: My name is Leslie Speiser. We live on Cook Road, Easton, Missouri. We would rather the wind turbines be prohibited altogether. If that is not possible, we ask that you endorse the one-mile setback. We moved to the country over 30 years ago to experience the benefits of being close to nature. And we have done that. We've always thought this land was especially beautiful. Once these farmlands are ruined, they cannot be put back the way they were before. From my couch at night in our living room, from about Union Star to Cameron, we can see over 100 large red blinking lights. They look terrible. It looks like a war zone or that there's a disaster going on over there. And this is over 13 miles away. The proposed setback of one-half mile is too close in my opinion.

Light and sound travel a long way out in the country. Complaints have been made that residents can hear the sound of the blades over a mile away. The wind turbines are ugly, they're noisy, the red lights are annoying, they're bad for the environment, they kill birds. And after all that, after so many years, the motors die out. And then what?

We understand how important green energy is for the future. We would promote that through any way possible,
solar, hydroelectric, putting the wind turbines in sparsely populated areas. It's clear that the residents of our Township do not want wind turbines here. Please endorse the one-mile setback. Thank you.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, Ms. Speiser. Mr. Speiser.

## PAT SPEISER

MR. SPEISER: Your Honor.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Sir?
MR. SPEISER: My name is Pat Speiser. As my wife just indicated, we live at 9697 Cook Road. I'm not going to go over all of the objections that you've heard tonight. But I do want to reaffirm what I consider a very valid objection to wind turbines that friends, family and neighbors have already told you about and will probably continue to tell you about. But just quickly, my personal experience is we bought a farm about 30 years ago. We had decided to buy a place where we did in Marion Township because of the land itself. We have now lived there 30 years. Part of the time I was working, and I remember telling my wife a number of times it was such a pleasant situation to be able to come home at night after work and feel like you were on vacation, which is what it was like. Obviously, a wind turbine would certainly take that away.

I've heard some talk here tonight about banning wind turbines. Quite honestly, that's what I would like to see you do and consider. I've heard rumors that you can't ban them legally. I don't know that. But I would encourage you to maybe follow that up a little bit. Evidently it's not illegal. They've dismissed some suits that some people have already mentioned tonight in other locations. And I think that's important, because I think that one wind turbine is one too many.

If you could see your way clear to ban the wind turbines, we would give you the opportunity to -- I hate to say kick the can down the road, but at least you would always have that opportunity to come back if, God forbid, things would get to the point where you would have to have that particular energy and have it used in this county. But if it did, you would have that opportunity later to do that.

If you pass an ordinance that allows wind turbines and wind turbines go up, it's obviously going to be terribly difficult to reverse that situation. So I just want to encourage you to consider that possibility of banning the turbines.

I know you have a very difficult job. I know you're volunteers. I know you're doing this on your own time, and I admire you for that. I want to thank you
sincerely for considering what I've had to say tonight and what people before me have had to say and what the people after me are going to say. Thank you very much.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, Mr. Speiser. Ginger Garrett.

MS. GARRETT: I pass.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Pat Fisher.

## PAT FISHER

MS. FISHER: I've been cold, so I'm going to put my coat back on.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Excuse me, I think I just skipped Steve Blazer. I will call you right after Ms. Fisher.

MR. BLAZER: Thank you.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you. I'm sorry. I didn't read it correctly. Ms. Fisher, you may proceed when you're ready.

MS. FISHER: Thank you. I'm Pat Fisher. I live at 2043 Northeast Route P in Easton, Missouri, Buchanan County, Marion Township. Thank you for allowing me to speak this evening. I have three main points as to why I oppose the installation of wind farms in Buchanan County. My opposition comes from a legacy standpoint. The grandparents of my husband and me were Kneibs, Fishers, Wallers and Sliekers. Our children are the
sixth generation of Fishers raised in Buchanan County. Our ancestors came from Harlingen, Germany, a place that looked familiar to their homeland and called it Hurlingen, Missouri.

I say all of this because the landscape and the view have remained the same for 168 years since they arrived. Our fathers and our forefathers have farmed and owned land in this county for 168 years. We have loved the rolling hills and the ability to see approximately 10 miles to the east and 10 miles to the west without large objects obstructing our view. We would like that to remain the same for our four sons and 14 grandchildren. But not only for the landscape to remain the same, but for the land to be used wisely.

Neighborly relationships. My husband used to haul lime and has been on most farms in Marion Township. We have established relationships and have made many friends in the county. We would not want those friendships harmed as the introduction of wind farms sometimes causes disruptions in that neighborly feel.

My husband and I attended the last Zoning meeting and heard the opposition to a proposal due to the eyesore of trailer homes. How would the presence of $60-s t o r y ~ w i n d ~ t u r b i n e s ~ i n ~ t h e ~ n e i g h b o r h o o d ~ b e ~ a g r e e a b l e ~$ to all those impacted?

My third point is decommissioning regulations. And Stephanie touched on these, so it may be a rehashing of that. On page 15 of the current ordinance it states that a performance or surety bond will be established in the event a wind turbine needs to be decommissioned. Do you have an amount for the surety bond? In Minnesota, which Stephanie also stated, where some turbines are being taken down, it is estimated to cost $\$ 410,000$ to $\$ 532,000$ for each turbine to be removed.

One turbine farm in Minnesota, it will be costing 7.1 million dollars. Will our county have enough money if the surety bond does not meet the removal cost or if the turbines have been sold to a different company? It also states that the county reserves the right to review their decommissioning plan every five years. Will the county regularly review a plan once it's adopted?

At the bottom of page 15 it states that the cement base will be removed to a depth of five feet below the surface. The base of most turbines is about 10 feet deep, plus it contains rebar. Will a farmer be able to terrace a field that has that much concrete and rebar remaining there? One month ago today on a plane ride to California, I sat next to a former Zoning Board member from Livermore, California who served during the explosion of wind turbines coming to California in the

1980s. I was told that because of windspeed interference with one another, 472 wind turbines have been taken down just a few years ago. He said the company who owned them was supposed to restore the land to its original use. But instead, they cut the turbines off four foot above the ground and left the cement. That scares me. We have to look ahead.

In closing, I oppose the wind farms in Buchanan County. And while I see the necessity of regulations, I would really like to see them banned. While new technology changes so quickly, I feel new energy solutions are going to be available in the near future for my children and for our county. Thank you very much.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, Ms. Fisher.
Mr. Blazer.
STEVE BLAZER
MR. BLAZER: Thank you, Your Honor.
MODERATOR SHAFER: You may proceed, sir. Yes, sir.
MR. BLAZER: My name is Steve Blazer. I live at 12900 Northeast State Route 6, Easton, Missouri, which is eight miles east of town on 6 Highway in Marion Township. My profession, I'm a registered professional mechanical engineer. I worked for Altec for 32 years. I bought 80 acres probably close to 13 years ago out
there, and a few years later I built a house. I've lived there for 10 years. Besides engineering, I dabble in farming. I farm 50 acres of that in row crop. I also get involved in a lot of other alternative things. PUBLIC MEMBER: We can't hear you.

MR. BLAZER: I also farm other alternative crops, aronia berries, chestnuts, I bee keep and am also a private pilot. If you want to know what aronia berries are, Google it at Blazer Farms. So I try and do some alternative things with the land. Again, I've been a pilot. I trained back at a school. I went to work for Boeing for years, so I trained in Wichita.

I won't reiterate a lot of what other people talked about. I did move out there. The beauty of the quiet country living, I'm concerned about the loss of property value, the wildlife. Just last week I had bald eagles every day coming home, sitting around the pond. I've had trumpeter swans on my pond before. I know the turbines will affect migratory routes in the habitat. The wildlife won't be the same once they're there.

My wife has anxiety issues at times. She's highly concerned about the noise, the flicker and everything else, so if they're too close, I may be forced to sell out and move. I would like to retire eventually here and stay in Buchanan County, but that may not be
possible.
I'm going to focus on a little bit different than probably everybody else is talking about. Being a pilot, I trained in Wichita when I worked for Boeing. And I took off and landed behind commercial aircraft in a single-engine airplane. Wave turbulence. I don't know if people understand what wave turbulence is. I'll try and boil it down a little bit. Most people have probably been at the Lake of the Ozarks at some point. If you follow a big cabin cruiser, it's putting out a huge wave. Take, like, 50 of them running down the lake at the same time and you try to ski behind it. The waves amplify.

These wind turbines will cause huge wave turbulence, or disturbance of the air behind them, that will make it unsafe to fly in around them and disrupt some of the farming activities. A lot of people, I included, have hired spray, aerial spray, to spray the crops, apply cover crops. They won't be able to operate in these wind turbine farms, and even private pilots.

There is a number of airports in Buchanan County besides Rosecrans that we fly in and out of. If any of these -- a wind farm is in proximity to those, the wave turbulence is going to make it hazardous to fly around them. Wave turbulence is invisible. You can't see it.

Depending on wind direction, wind speed, it can drift for miles beyond the wind turbines.

Now, I've looked -- in just the last few days I've looked at some of the surrounding areas. Since I came from Kansas and spent some time in Wichita, Wichita, if people don't know, Boeing, Cessna, Learjet, Beechcraft, all these airplane manufacturers, they have lots of airports. They went around and around with the wind turbine issue also. And they proposed five-mile distances from airports. And recently last year Sedgwick County, where Wichita is at, banned commercial wind farms. I think they allow solar and they allow personal wind, 45 foot and below, but they banned commercial because of the hazard with the airplane traffic.

I don't understand why Buchanan County can't take that same approach. I've heard over and over we have to come up with an ordinance to control it. Well, make an ordinance that bans it, not just controls it. But I would like to see Buchanan County look at some of these hazards with aviation and follow suit with Sedgwick County. If not, the other thing I looked at is Kansas has introduced the House Bill 2273.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Your time is up, sir, but you may have 30 more seconds.

MR. BLAZER: All right. Look at how this Kansas House Bill address this, and this is at a minimum of what I would like to see. They say not less than 12 times system height, or 7,920 feet, essentially a mile and a half, from any residential property or public building. Here it talks about 20 times. So it's been essentially three miles from any airport. As a minimum I'd like to see Buchanan County, instead of leaving it up to the FAA -- that's what our ordinance right now, the proposed ordinance lists per FAA. We need to define that, not leave it up to them. Put a minimum of three miles from the airport. The same thing, it lists three miles from any local, state, federal wildife refuge, public hunting area or public park. Again, to protect our wildife, Missouri has created a legacy of the Conservation Department and all the conservation grounds. These wind turbines definitely will affect the wildlife kingdom.

Here's an example of wind turbulence. I don't know how many years ago.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Excuse me, sir, could you wrap up in 30 seconds, please?

MR. BLAZER: Okay. An airplane, private pilot -this hits home, so a single-engine airplane coming into Rosecrans and was landing just after a C-130 took off.

He's about to flare. The wave turbulence from the C-130 flipped it over and killed the pilot and passenger.

So I urge you to consider some of these things and put a restriction from airports. I'm thinking the wildlife and the birds are going to be flying through the same wave turbulence. Being a pilot, I understand and have flown around this stuff and trained around this issue with wind turbulence. Thank you for your consideration.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, sir. Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take a brief break or a recess, about 10 or 12 minutes. We'll let everybody stretch their legs for a second. We'll be back and we'll resume our hearing.
(A short recess was taken.)
MODERATOR SHAFER: We resume the testimony at the first public hearing. The next name $I$ have on my list is Ron Fisher.

MR. FISHER: That would be me.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Yes, sir, Mr. Fisher, yes, sir.

## RON FISHER

MR. FISHER: Thank you guys for listening. My name
is Ronnie Fisher and I live at 2043 Route P in Easton,
Missouri. Tonight I'm going to kind of focus on a little different thing. I'm a machinist, and I like to
look at machinery and how efficient they are. So I'm going to focus on the efficiency of a two-megawatt turbine.

Electricity is measured in kilowatt hours. The wholesale rate for a kW-h is approximately two cents. This means that a megawatt hour is $\$ 20$. So a two-megawatt turbine produces $\$ 40$ worth of electricity every hour at maximum output.

If a wind turbine costs four million dollars, it has to run 100,000 hours at maximum output to produce four million dollars worth of electricity. In a year, there are 8,760 hours. Divide that into 100,000. It takes 11.4 years running nonstop at maximum output.

In the years 2016, '17 and '18, according to the weather service at Pleasant Hill, the St. Joseph area had 31 days during those years that the average wind speed was 15 miles an hour or more. That's one month out of 12 during the year that the wind speed was high enough to even turn on the turbine. So you have to take that figure, the 11.4 years running at maximum times 12 to get your four million dollars of current. That comes to 136.8 years for that turbine to pay for itself in this area. By the way, the maximum output of these turbines is produced at 25 to 30 miles per hour wind speed.

The second item I'd like to look at is why do we think that these wind turbines are green machines? The two-megawatt wind turbine weighs about 250 tons. Globally it takes about a half a ton of coal to make a ton of steel. So that's 125 tons of coal. Then there's 70 tons of rebar that goes into the concrete. That's another 35 tons of coal. Then the concrete itself takes another 25 tons of coal. So that gets us up to 185 tons of coal.

Then there's oil. There's oil in these machines. Several gallon, at least 60 gallons of oil in the turbine.

Then you have the trucks hauling the turbine components to the job site. It takes at least 10 semi-trucks, and if they're coming from either coast with these parts, that's 2,000 gallons of diesel. Then you have 70 trucks delivering 560 yards of cement. That's at least another thousand gallon. Then there's a dozer at the job site, the excavator, maybe a road grader, two giant cranes. All of this just to install one machine that will never pay for itself that nobody wants.

In the end, when the wind doesn't blow and the sun doesn't shine, we need reliable power. In our area, that power comes from either coal or nuclear. Both have
gotten a bad rap over the years. Coal has been cleaned up. And nuclear uses no fossil fuels at all. But, again, we find that money talks a lot louder than common sense. Thank you.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, Mr. Fisher. Sandy Pratt. Ms. Pratt, you may proceed when you're ready. SANDY PRATT

MS. PRATT: Okay, thank you.
MODERATOR SHAFER: You're welcome.
MS. PRATT: I appreciate you guys being here and letting us have this. My name is Sandy Pratt. I live at 2201 Northeast 85th Road. It's a mile north of Bessie Ellison School. I understand that Seth Wright, President of the School Board and lawyer for NextEra was involved in the third draft. It is obvious to the citizens that the third draft is less restrictive for NextEra than it is to the citizens of Buchanan County.

In reviewing the third draft, I notice there is no township listed where NextEra wants to put the turbines. Nowhere was Marion Township mentioned. Why are they wanting to put these wind turbines in Buchanan County? Our county has no real place for commercial expansion except to the east. West of us is the Missouri River. North is Andrew County and south they have a gas line company. If Buchanan County allows NextEra to build
turbines in eastern townships, no commercial companies will want to come.

In researching the wind farm companies, I noticed many sell to other wind farms companies and then they sell to other wind arm companies. And eventually they go to the utility companies. Then that's when the eminent domain takes effect.

Black and Veatch told us -- gave us some information about the turbines from Atchison County. They said they get $\$ 1,500,000$ in tax revenue, and the school district gets $\$ 600,000$ for their revenue. The problem is, Andrew (sic.) County has over 151 turbines and covers 20,000 acres. And their turbines are 350 feet tall. We were told Buchanan County will have 51, which means that we will get $\$ 506,634$ in taxes. And the school district will get $\$ 227,717$.

Turbines will definitely affect the children with special needs. I work with children with special needs. And it does affect, especially when you get to the shadow flickering and the noise. We were told at the first meeting that if it affects your child, that you'll just have to move because the turbines will be here. I find that degrading and an insult to our citizens and our students. Wind turbine blades result in shadow flickering effect, which means that the sun shines
through the shadow and appears into the house. This affects all kids. And I suggest you all go to the shadow flickering and watch it for 20 minutes and see how it affects you.

And why do they want 60 -feet (sic.) tall turbines? To compare the height, the Washington Monument in Washington D.C. is 599 feet tall. The St. Louis Arch in St. Louis is 620 feet. It would take two football fields plus 30 yards to equal 600-feet turbines.

What about the bond and the decommissioning? According to American Experiment Org., that they are having to pay $\$ 532,000$-- $\$ 532,000$ a turbine to decommission. And who will pay for this? Certainly not the company. And it will have to come to the -- will it be the county, or will it be the farmers, the huge land they lease?

The life of a turbine is usually 10 to 20 years. If not used within 12 months, they are considered decommissioned. Black and Veatch also said it would affect our cell phone reception. And they said you would just have to use another tower. We don't have another tower. We don't have cable. We have to rely on satellite TV. Our Internet is terrible. We have had -because of our Internet being so bad because we have to use the satellite for our Internet, we have two
neighbors that had to move from their houses because they worked out of the home and it affected their jobs. I encourage a ban on the wind turbines. Thank you. MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, Ms. Pratt. Jan Wynes.

## JAN WYNES

MODERATOR SHAFER: Ms. Wynes, you may proceed when you're ready.

MS. WYNES: Thank you.
MODERATOR SHAFER: You're welcome.
MS. WYNES: My name is Jan Wynes. I live at 11701
Southwest Kerns Road, Stewartsville, Missouri. I own
farmland in Buchanan County. I own farm land next to my brother-in-law, Wayne Weipert, located at the corner of 36 Highway across from Horizon Equipment.

Wayne and I are opposed to the wind turbine project in our rural area. We have attended three public meetings at Bessie Ellison and two public courthouse meetings. It was apparent in all meetings by the majority of the people present, the majority of the public voiced opposition to the project, in addition to some of the Planning and Zoning members. There are major concerns by us landowners and homeowners that have been shared with the Planning and Zoning members and to the three Commissioners.

First of all, I and others feel the installations of the turbines will decrease our land values. Because Buchanan County is so densely populated and the immense size of the turbines, they would greatly impose upon neighboring nonparticipating properties.

Last week I emailed a letter to our presiding Commissioners, but didn't have time to get my concerns to the rest of you regarding three items on Draft Three. I'll read parts of my letters and some additional comments and questions to the rest of you.

We were thankful to see the setbacks from property lines that were added to the setback requirements as everybody's been asking for. But I guess we just need some clarification because the nonparticipating and school setbacks still are from structures of 1,320 feet. It seems to conflict with the added setback from property lines at a half mile, and the line noting setback of nonparticipating property lines was taken off. Or is the setback of property lines of a half mile inclusive of everyone? We're just always -- we are asking for one mile from property lines.

Number two, another item. If any time a variance request is made for taller turbines, as it was stated by the Black and Veatch lady speaker at one of the courthouse meetings, then wouldn't it require a larger
setback for height? That should be addressed in the setback requirements.

Item six, signal interference, addresses the WECS. It should not be installed where it would interfere with cellular, radio, TV, wireless phone, microwave, etc. However, the last sentence only addresses wind projects securing dependable TV for the life of the wind project. What about all the other possible interferences? Shouldn't the last sentence include all interferences, especially for nonparticipating landowners?

I drove a very limited area around Bessie Ellison School east to $A B, A B$ to 36, 36 to $C$ and $C$ back to Bessie Ellison, a few miles on $P$ and a short section of Southwest Pickett Road. I counted 65 signs in a small area opposing the wind project. I remember Mr. Sawyer said at one of the courthouse meetings that he'd received some calls from people interested in the area. But in my engagement with residents in the involved planning area, I haven't met anyone who supports the project. I heard of a couple families, but that's strictly hearsay.

For what it's worth, I honestly feel, based on past meetings, hearing from some of you Board members, questions and statements, speaking with area people and posted signage, the majority of the rural residents
involved that you represent are against this project. That this area NextEra is interested in is rural and densely populated. We're not a corporate area, we're county residents. We ask the County Commissioners to protect our county. One of our reps in Jefferson City said the Grain Belt Appeal is denied. The Grain Belt will be able to eminent domain as a private utility. That will open the door to other private utilities to do the same. Companies like NextEra, whose legal actions have taken on other counties east of us, in my opinion they'll take every advantage they can.

Our land values are of the utmost importance to us, as well as our rural way of life. I believe if you were to have land value studies done to see how they are affected by implementation of these turbines, and we would like to know the results.

And why and when was the whole Buchanan County added to the project? Last time I knew from the meetings this whole past year, I thought it was just Marion Township NextEra was interested in, just east of St. Joe. And I believe most of the people were informed it was this area, therefore, primarily the majority of the people who thought it involved them were the majority vested in voicing their opinions. Someone asked me if I thought the rest of Buchanan County was
added at some point to minimize our Marion Township voiced opposition in regard to a larger area. I never thought of that.

Last I heard on AM 680, Barry Birr interviewed Mr. Sawyer regarding this whole issue. And Mr. Birr just point blank asked Mr. Sawyer if he just couldn't say no, that the wind turbine was not suitable for this area. Mr. Sawyer didn't have an answer but would look into it. Also one of the Planning and Zoning members asked the same thing at one of the courthouse meetings. Do we have an answer yet? Can you just say no?

MODERATOR SHAFER: Ms. Wynes, you have 30 more seconds.

MS. WYNES: Thank you for your time, and thanks also to the hard work, time invested and professionalism of the Friends of Buchanan County. In closing, we wish you would ban these things. At least, if you don't, give us the one-mile setback from property lines. Thank you.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, Ms. Wynes. Mary Wagner.

## MARY WAGNER

MS. WAGNER: I wasn't planning on speaking, but there's only been a couple of people touch on a point that I thought was important. I'm Mary Wagner. I was
born in Iowa. I grew up out east of town, 350 Southeast 90th Road, St. Joseph, Missouri.

PUBLIC MEMBER: We can't hear you. Put your microphone up.

MS. WAGNER: Okay. I'm Mary Wagner, 350 Southeast 90th Road, St. Joseph, Missouri. I wrote a couple of questions that I think need consideration. Many people believe that the construction of the proposed wind turbines within view of their property will lower the value of their property drastically. If this proves to be true, is Buchanan County prepared to lower the property taxes on affected properties, thus decreasing their revenue stream for our schools? If so, would this decrease in revenue for the schools be offset by the increase in revenue created by the wind turbines?

The other point was, if the proposed turbines are approved and constructed, thus providing a revenue stream for the schools, what assurances do we have that other monies currently allocated to the schools will not be redistributed for other uses? Those are my two points. Thank you.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you very much. Robert Miner. Thank you. You may proceed when you're ready, Mr. Miner.

## ROBERT MINER

MR. MINER: Members of the Commission, Commissioners, I wish I could say it's a pleasure to be here. But $I$ can say, $I$ think, that there's nobody here that I know of that has spoken in favor of the wind farms. I would just like that noted, it's been two-and-a-half hours since this began. I'm here to express my opposition to, number one, just the implementation of any regulations that are going to authorize the farms. But if those are going in, to request that the property line be increased to one mile.

## I reside at 1717 North 30th Street here in

 St. Joseph, but my family has had a farm on Hurlingen Road northeast of Bessie Ellison school for over a hundred years. It's a Century Farm. I've been going out there frequently since $I$ was probably two or three years old. I currently have three young grandchildren that continue to go out with me, and they've been doing that since they were born.I'm here primarily, I think selfishly, looking at my children, my grandchildren. I have a daughter living in St. Joe, and I would like her to have an opportunity to be able to take her kids out there, as my parents took me out there over the years, and enjoy the peace and quiet and beauty of the farmland. We have enjoyed
this, all of us in Buchanan County, particularly in Marion Township where you do have the hills and the beautiful views.

What's going to happen if this comes in? I have a checkpoint of oppositions, and they've already been expressed, I think, very well. I don't have anything more to add other than from a personal standpoint. Will my daughter ever have any interest in trying to live on the farm, or build a cabin on the farm or take her kids out to the farm if they know we've got a 600-foot wind turbine within a close proximity to the farm?

I'm concerned about wildlife. My kids have hunted with me out there, and I continue to hunt out there. I know that it's not just a problem for me, it's a problem for all of us here in the room, all of these issues that we've addressed.

Economically, at some point my brother and sister and I who own this farm together, we're not going to continue to own it and what's it going to be worth when we're not around anymore? That's a concern at such time as that's going to be passed on to somebody else and at some point sold.

I would like to express my gratitude to those members of the Friends who have actively participated in the meetings, Barry Birr and others, Anita who has
worked so hard on educating us and continuing to do so. I look forward to continued support for the county. But I think it's important for you on the Commission to look long term. What are the long-term effects for the county, the city? I don't want to be repetitious with what others have said, but I think there is an economic concern that we should all worry about in terms of is it going to have an effect on bringing new people into St. Joe, further growth and development in eastern Buchanan County. I'm concerned about that. The St. Joe population is rather stagnant now. Buchanan County's is as well.

We all want, I think, growth and increased income and opportunities in the county and the community. I do have a serious concern that if you bring in these huge wind farms and high towers, that we may not be seeing that continued growth.

I am concerned as well about the decommissioned expense. I think that that's something that needs to be explored. I don't know how you're going to guarantee payment at the time that those are going to have to come down.

I do have one other item that I share. I don't know if I should leave this or not. But I took this photograph in connection with a photography class I took
at the college, and it was something that $I$ took from a hilltop from the farm. This is something I think I'll go ahead and put in the record. It's noted as "Miner Farm looking east in 2019". Way, way off in the distance, probably 15 miles away, you can see wind farms. They're about this high in the picture. But if you picture one that's on the other side of the road, you really don't want to be looking over there anymore. I know it's a lot more than just looks. But it is peace and enjoyment, not only for me, but for my children and grandchildren. So all I ask is that you consider this very carefully and consider carefully all the objections that have been expressed. Note that there are not people here expressing their support for this. Think about the future. Thank you.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, Mr. Miner. Damon Miles.

MR. MILES: I'm going to pass.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Lindell Norman.
MR. NORMAN: Yes.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Mr. Norman, you may proceed when you're ready, sir.

## LINDELL NORMAN

MR. NORMAN: Good evening, everybody. Thank you for letting me talk. I really wasn't planning on

## talking tonight. My name is Lindell Norman. I live at 13001 Southeast US Highway 36, ironically in a little place that's called Peaceful Acres.

This is a little personal story rather than a lot of technical stuff that everybody's talked about. Me and my wife moved up here to Buchanan County, St. Joseph about 25,26 years ago right after the flood. We lived in town, raised two boys, paid our taxes, done all that. My wife has a history of cancer and things like that. So she lost her sister and mother, father, stepfather all in approximately four years.

So we lived in the country before we moved up here. So we decided to move back to the country. So we took some of our money, most of it, bought six acres out here just east of 31 south heading into Easton. We got a little piece of water on it. We've got about a quarter mile driveway going one way, about a quarter mile the other way. First thing would have put one of those at each end of my property we could have seen.

Anyway, we bought it. And we bought a brand new camper, RV camper. We're campers. At the beginning of the year we took it out there and we parked it because it was so beautiful when we got out there. And we haven't moved it since.

Probably about a month after we got out there, I
got a letter in the mail talking about putting these wind farms in. My wife cried. Think about it. That's what she wanted. That's what we wanted for our grandkids. They were fixing to take our money possibly. Take whatever time my wife might have left. Think about it. Think about these people that have been living there for years to raise their families. The money ain't worth it. Take our tax money that we've given you and fight these people. We don't need 'em. Raise my taxes if you need to if you need more money. Don't put it on our properties. Leave us alone. Thank you. MODERATOR SHAFER: Marvin Brown.

MR. BROWN: Decline.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Pardon me?
MR. BROWN: Decline.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, sir. Delores Moore.
MS. MOORE: Pass.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you. Jeff Grubb.
Mr. Jeff Grubb.
Cindy Buckler. Cindy Buckler.
Teddy Pankau, P-A-N-K-A-U.
Gale Kline.
MR. KLINE: No.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Steve Culver.
MR. CULVER: No.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Patty Culver.
MS. CULVER: Pass.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Mike Gregory. Mike Gregory.
Joe Gregory. Mike or Joe Gregory.
Jody Mayes, M-A-Y-E-S.
MR. MAYES: Yes.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Mr. Mayes, you may proceed when you're ready, sir.

## JODY MAYES

MR. MAYES: Thank you, Your Honor.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Yes, sir, thank you.
MR. MAYES: Thank you to the County Commissioners and for a chance to speak before the Planning and Zoning Commissioners. My name is Jody Mayes. I reside at 12875 State Route N in Easton, Missouri. I'm a long-time resident of Buchanan County. And I'm opposed to the allowing of the windmill plants in Buchanan County. I don't think that they're a right fit for what is Buchanan County.

Personally, I just don't want to see them. They're not aesthetically pleasing. They're 600 feet high. They're unnatural. You want to take a look at the landscape and see a horizon that is natural, or I do. At night they're equally unpleasant. You see all the blinking red lights. We see them in DeKalb County. It
goes on for miles.
For 30 years I made my profession as a soil scientist and as an environmental specialist. Most of my career I've been officed or worked more than 50 miles away from the city of $S t$. Joe or Buchanan County. But I always returned to the county because it's my home. And for all those years I've tried to -- this is where $I$ come from and this is where I wanted to come back to, into the country. And I now farm on the place where I live, which is a 260-acre farm in eastern Buchanan County.

One of the things that I've learned working throughout the state is that some things don't work well everywhere. What works wonderfully in one area works marginally in another. I think that's the same with these wind towers. If we remove some of the subsidies going into the installation, I don't think that these companies would be looking at this area because it's marginal for wind power. A type of grass or a type of forage or a breed of cattle may work wonderfully in some places, but only marginally in another. Wind farms operate marginally in this part of the country.

There's nothing more pronounced in the landscape than a wind farm. All you have to do is drive up 36 or north. They're very prominent. Wind farms are
temporary. They possibly have a 25-year life span, which means that the same logistics for putting them up will also be needed to take them down. Roads have to be widened. More base has to be put in to support the loads. We've seen them on other installations where they've stopped in a place they're not supposed to be, and the trucks sink into the pavement so deeply that they have to be pulled out of the pavement in order to get to where they were supposed to go. County roads will have to be widened or redone to accommodate some of the oversized machinery that will install these wind farms.

I'd like to ask if the county wants to continue to maintain those widened roads or if they're going to ask the company to do that? Will they put the roads back in the place that that they were before the installation, which means cutting out a lot of the material that they had to put it in in order to get those to the property that they're installed on?

When the wind farm is laid out, they're going to be looking for hilltops and put structures for the structures of the windmills, and they're going to look for ridgelines to place the roads on to install them and maintain those turbines. With the depth of the rock that they'll place for the road construction leading up
to each of those wind platforms, means that those areas will not be farmed anymore. So they're going to take a ridgeline. They're going to cut it down. They're going to put in enough base rock in order to carry the loads of that machinery. And a lot of that land is prime farmland.

In Buchanan County we have a lot of prime farmland. I own farmland, so we don't really think about that. It's going to be taken out of production just as if a city street was being built. We use Planning and Zoning so that this doesn't happen in the city. We don't want urban sprawl, and it's not allowed through Planning and Zoning. So why do we want it in the rural part of the county? Your charge as appointed Commissioners is to protect and to conserve the value of our communities and the countryside, and encourage the most appropriate use of the land.

Please consider not allowing wind farms to be placed in Buchanan County. It's not the right mix.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you Mr. Mayes. Larry
Andersen. Larry Andersen.
Scot Altertson. Scot Albertson.
James Cox.
Gwen Hendricks
MS. HENDRICKS: Pass.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Is that a pass?
MS. HENDRICKS: Yes.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you. William Clark.
MR. CLARK: Clark.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Clark, I'm sorry. I need to wipe those glasses off again, Mr. Clark. Come up, please, sir.

## WILLIAM CLARK

MR. CLARK: My name is William Clark. I live at 3400 Southeast State Route C, Marion Township. I've lived on the same property for 79 years. It's been strictly by choice. I could have gone other places. I like Buchanan County. It's a good county, a lot of good people. I'm strictly opposed to the wind generators. I took a 2,500 mile road trip this summer. And you want to have your trip ruined, drive mile and mile and mile looking at windmills. I hate to see Buchanan County become that way.

I live out by John Deere. In the evening you go home and it just looks like a war zone, red lights, red lights blinking mile after mile. I'm so thankful that $I$ don't live in Stewartsville or Clarksdale or some area where I've got to be exposed to that. So I appreciate your thoughtfulness. Thank you

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, sir. Penny Reil.

MS. REIL: Pass.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Gerald Calvart.
Eileen Deger, $D-E-G-E-R$ or $D-E-Y-E-R$. Eileen.
Sandy Smith.
Joseph and Frankie Kalin.
MR. KALIN: Pass.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you. Wayne Weigert.
MR. WEIGERT: Pass.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Daniel and Jean Ann Gregory.
Margaret Clark.
Becky Clark or Teddy Clark. 10389 Southeast Hillyard Road.

Parker Rice. Taking the short cut. You may proceed when you're ready.

PARKER RICE
MR. RICE: Okay. Thank you, Judge.
MODERATOR SHAFER: You may proceed when you're ready.

MR. RICE: All right, thank you, sir. Thank you for having me. My name is Parker Rice. I live at 13435 Northeast Hurlingen Road. I'm probably more well known for my worldwide recognized radio show out of Buchanan County, Missouri. But I'm a conservation agent for the Missouri Department of Conservation. I've been asked to talk here just briefly on the impacts that these things
have on wildlife. And then being a resident of the county, I'm going to take off that conservation hat and I'm going to tell you what $I$ feel about wind farms personally.

The Department's official policy is we don't have any regulatory authority over them, okay? As far as we don't have any teeth to say you can't put them here, you can't put them there or how far away they have to be. And so what the Department -- my understanding is the Department has tried to do in the years past when they pose these farms to come up, is they try to build a relationship with the company early on in the process, and give advice and technical data on how to design them, where to site them at, construction advice on just trying to limit or minimize the impact that these things do have on wildlife.

I think I'd be remiss to say that there is no impact on wildlife. Everything has an impact on something. Many times the reports that are generated are industry reports on the impacts on wildife. That's like putting the fox in the henhouse. They're not going to report on how many eagles they're killing, how many bats die. And they're not required to.

Unfortunately, the Endangered Species Act and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act are voluntary
compliance for these things. They do kill eagles. They kill bats. They affect the wildlife. Missourians take their wildlife serious. The last study I read was that 95 percent of Missourians participated in an outdoor activity in the last 12 months. 55 percent of those were using our conservation areas. So that's what I have to say about that.

Personally, my wife and I moved back here specifically to Buchanan County three years ago from after spending about eight years in the barren waste lands of central Nebraska. And I wanted to come back to north Missouri. And I knew that Buchanan County was open with MDC. So I applied and got hired and got placed where $I$ wanted to. And we are trying to build a Century Farm, as we purchased our 105 acres east of St. Joe in Marion Township, put a house on it and we're raising both of our little kids there.

We can see the windmills. I think there's been multiple valid and well-explained points made about why we don't want them. I think personally the confusion for me is this is -- being a student of government educationally and a government employee almost my whole life, it seems like a simple equation. We don't want them, so why are we even going through this process, right? That about sums up my thoughts on it. So thank
you for your time.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you Mr. Rice. Johnnie Walker.

MR. WALKER: Pass.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Kyle Carroll.
MR. CARROLL: Pass.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Elizabeth Pollert,
P-O-L-L-E-R-T, on Southeast Bermond.
Neil Pollert.
Joe Bauer.
Marlene Hebb, H-E-B-B, on Boulevard View Drive.
Denney Dunning.
MR. DUNNING: Pass.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you.
Ralph and Sonya Schwoder.
Mike and Pam Nold, N-O-L-D.
Clarence Vetter, V-E-T-T-E-R.
Tim Gach, G-A-C-H.
MR. GACH: Pass.
MODERATOR SHAFER: (timer sounded) I guess it just called time on me, didn't it? I was afraid that was going to happen. Mr. Gach, I believe you passed. Debbie Gach.

MS. GACH: Pass.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Richard Coy.

Loi Jacqueline Coy.
Judith Cook.
MS. COOK: No.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you. Norman Cook, Sr.
MR. COOK: No.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, sir. Sandra Toot.
Marcie Toot.
Mary Ann and Richard LaFollette.
Daria DeShon.
MS. DeSHON: Pass.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Pardon me?
MS. DeSHON: Pass.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Pass? Thank you. Richard Ashley DeShon.

MR. DeSHON: Pass.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Pass? Thank you. Mark Manville.

MR. MANVILLE: Pass, sir.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, sir. David Folkert.
Tim K-A-L-U-G-E, 715 Wallie Line in St. Joseph?
Sorry, Tim, I can't pronounce your last name.
Bob Evans.
Andrea Veatch. Chris Veatch. Andrea or Chris Veatch.

Jodi and Chris Miljavac.

MR. MILJAVAC: Pass.
MODERATOR SHAFER: John Simenso.
MR. SIMENSO: Defer.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Pardon me?
MR. SIMENSO: Defer.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Defer. Thank you, sir. Chuck Kemmer.

Mark and Sara Hager or Hagan.
Janet Dunning.
Robert Corder.
Gerald Abbott.
Daryal Pierce.
John Seymour and Sarah Seymour.
Gary and Jan Walkup.
Joshua Wagers. Yes, sir, Mr. Wagers. The Commissioner will get you a microphone there, sir. JOSHUA WAGERS

MR. WAGERS: Thank you, sir. (Speaking from the balcony.)

MODERATOR SHAFER: Yes, sir. Thank you.
MR. WAGERS: Pretty hot here. Are we good? Okay.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Is it warmer up there?
MR. WAGERS: I was just meaning the mic, sir.
MODERATOR SHAFER: You may proceed, Mr. Wagers.
MR. WAGERS: Thank you, Your Honor.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Yes, sir.
MR. WAGERS: Thank you to the Commission for this evening, for letting us come speak to show our concerns and our thoughts. My name is Joshua Wagers. I reside at 4680 Northeast State Route P, Clarksdale, Missouri 64430.

First, I'd like to advocate for the children in the schools that might be in the surrounding area with the windmills. My wife is a school teacher at Bessie Ellison. And I would like to advocate for a one-mile setback for the children there and for the teachers and the work that they do.

I also have some things to give to the clerk for the record. But I'd like to cite some things that I found from the United States Census Bureau for Buchanan County. Buchanan County has 38,804 housing units. Of those $38,804,631 / 2$ percent are occupied -- are owner-occupied housing units. The median value that the Census says of those units is $\$ 118,400$. I'm not sure what the average is. The Census didn't give us the average, but it's the median value. Sorry.

So we have 24,640 units who are owner-occupied. And we know that the owner-occupied units are $\$ 118,400$. You multiply that, and we have a total of 2 billion, 917 million, and 439,000 and some change (\$2,917,439,000)
value in Buchanan County in the owner-occupied properties alone, not including rental, commercial properties, anything of that nature.

So I won't regurgitate the potential loss and percentages, but I'm going to go with a modest percentage of 10 percent in property value lost. That would equal for our county, using these numbers from the Census, \$291,743,993.

I don't see any way possible for this project to recoup that money for the citizens of this county just for the gain of a few landowners.

A few questions I have would be that I know the total annual tax revenue for Buchanan County is $\$ 83,000,000$. What percent is based on the property taxes itself? And what is the total tax revenue lost if the property values drop by 10 percent? I know there's a lot of variables that would go into this to be considered more than what I could just find from the Census Bureau. But I do know that the Rock Creek Facility in Atchison County produces 1.5 million dollars in tax revenues for the county, $\$ 650,000$ for their school districts.

The proposed Buchanan County wind turbine project, if we had 100 units, which I do believe is more than what is proposed. I'm not exactly sure on that. But if
you do have 100 units at an estimated $\$ 10,000$ payment to a landowner per turbine, the total yearly payments to landowners would equal one million dollars. The total estimated yearly county tax revenue, school district and landowner revenue combined, would be $\$ 3,150,000$ a year. The loss value for the entire county -- as I mentioned before, the $\$ 291,000,000$, when you compare that to the \$3,000,000 revenues for the school district, the landowners and to the county, based on these figures it would take $921 / 2$ years to recoup what your landowners would be losing in the county.

Mr. Kneib, who was the first speaker this evening, talked about the wind turbine study from an appraiser, and I actually have that study here. I will submit that for you all. And I also have the link for you guys to be able to visit his website and see what he has to say.

I have a closing remark, Your Honor. If I might have just a few more seconds?

MODERATOR SHAFER: You are granted a few more seconds. Sir, you are going to be the last speaker this evening, and you may make your concluding remarks.

MR. WAGERS: All right. Thank you, sir.
MODERATOR SHAFER: You're welcome.
MR. WAGERS: I'm holding in my hand what we initially call a smartphone. The technology there is
amazing. To be quite frank with you all this evening, I would like to say that $I$ do believe from my studies and things that I've seen, that we're on the brink of a -we once had an industrial revolution. I believe that we are on the brink of an electrical revolution.

Case in point here, smartphone. I ran a business. I used to carry this phone. My employees used to give me a hard time every time $I$ pulled it out of my pocket, calling this my "dumb phone" because they were more technologically advanced than $I$ was with my smartphone. So I would like to present to you that the coming electrical technology and advancement would be the smartphone and the technology that is used in the wind turbines would be likened to the dumb phone.

So that is all I have to say, sir.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Ladies and gentlemen, pursuant to the Notice of Public Hearing, it is now time for us to recess the first session. We will begin tomorrow as promptly as we can at 6 o'clock. Hopefully we will have no microphone delays tomorrow.

In any event, before $I$ recess, $I$ want to thank everyone who has participated this evening. For the courtesy you've extended to me, the Planning Commission, your neighbors, your Commissioners, thank you. I'm very appreciative, and you all deserve a pat on the back for
making your presentations and being heard and coming here tonight. Take you very much for that.

To each of you before $I$ conclude, all three of the County Commissioners are here who may or may not have any closing remarks. Then $I$ will recess until 6 o'clock tomorrow evening. I'll start where I left off on the list. It will not be necessary for you to sign in again if you have signed in tonight. I'm starting where I left off.

If additional people sign in, we'll add them to the list. Presiding Commissioner Sawyer, anything, sir? PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: I would like to thank Judge Shafer for him being here on our behalf and for everyone's behalf, and for him doing such a great job organizing tonight's conversation. Again, I would like to thank everybody for being here. We very much appreciate your input. We very much appreciate your attendance. So on behalf of Ron Hook, who is upstairs and has been holding down the fort upstairs with the microphone, and Scott Burnham and I, we very much appreciate you being here. We also very much appreciate, as was mentioned a number of times, we have a volunteer Planning and Zoning Board, and we appreciate their input and the thoughtfulness that they put into what they do month in and month out.

So we'll be here again tomorrow night. Again, thank you very much for being here.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, Presiding Commissioner Sawyer. Just a moment, ladies and gentlemen. I have one question, Commissioner Hook. Is it warmer up there? Do you have anything you want to say in closing, Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER HOOK: No, sir.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Commissioner Burnham? COMMISSIONER BURNHAM: No, sir.

MODERATOR SHAFER: We are in recess until 6 o'clock tomorrow evening. Thank you, ladies and gentlemen.
(Hearing is concluded for the evening.)

## PROCEEDINGS

## PUBLIC PARTICIPATION HEARING

THURSDAY, JANUARY 16, 2020
MODERATOR SHAFER: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. Some of you that I recognize, I'm saying good evening to you for the second time and welcome back. By way of introduction, my name is Abe Shafer. I've been asked to moderate this public hearing. We had a public hearing last night ending shortly after 9 o'clock, and are resuming the public hearing tonight. Further, by way of introduction, I'm a retired circuit judge and have been a member of the Missouri Bar for over 51 years. I'm honored to have the opportunity to be here tonight to moderate this hearing.

The County Commission of Buchanan County are here tonight, Presiding Commissioner Lee Sawyer; Commissioner of the Western District, Ron Hook; and Commissioner of the Eastern District, Scott Burnham.

The Commissioners have set forth certain public hearing guidelines so that it may be a fair hearing and everyone will have an opportunity to speak to the Planning Commission and to the Commissioners.

Tonight's meeting is not a question-and-answer session. It's a public hearing where each of you citizens of Buchanan County or other interested parties
have an opportunity to address the Planning Commission and the Commissioners. The public hearing guidelines are as follows. Anyone having any trouble hearing me? PUBLIC MEMBER: Yes.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Having trouble? PUBLIC MEMBER: Uh-huh.

MODERATOR SHAFER: I'm sorry. Is this better? PUBLIC MEMBERS: Yes.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Great. I'm sorry again. I'm Abe Shafer, and I'm going to be the moderator. I've been asked to moderate this hearing and will do so. Here are the public hearing guidelines:

The purpose of the public hearing is to provide an opportunity for interested parties to provide comments about potential wind energy regulations in Buchanan County. In order to establish an orderly, fair and efficient process for all involved, the following guidelines will be implemented:

One, speakers must sign up at the hearing prior to speaking. A written sheet will be available at the hearing location. I see that I have the sign-up sheet. Speakers will be called to speak in the order of their sign-up. We will begin with the completion of last night's sign-up list, and then go into tonight's sign-up list.

Each speaker will be provided five minutes to provide comments. The speaker is not required to speak the entire five minutes. The moderator has the discretion to extend or shorten the time as necessary. In the event the testimony is provided on a topic which is particularly unique or deals with an area of scientific or mechanical expertise, the moderator will be allowed to extend the time allotted to provide a thorough presentation of the same.

By sending -- you may also send written comments via email to dembrey@co.buchanan.mo.us, or you may deliver or mail written comments to the Buchanan County Planning and Zoning, Buchanan County Courthouse, 411 Jules, St. Joseph, Missouri 64501. During any public hearing, written testimony can be hand delivered to the clerk conducting the hearing. Any written commentary needs to be received by 5:00 PM on January 31, 2020. All written commentary will be copied and distributed to the Planning and Zoning Commission. It will not be read aloud during the public hearing.

Testimony shall be limited to Buchanan County residents or those entities wishing to conduct wind-related business within the county. Any nonperson entity, for example, a company or trust owning land in Buchanan County, shall also have the opportunity to
provide testimony by a designated representative.
The hearings are expected to be conducted in a courteous and orderly manner. That was certainly the case last night. And I'm certain that that will be the case again this evening.

Only the designated speaker will be able to provide input at any given time during the hearing. Outbursts or other unsolicited commentary, for example cheering or booing during the testimony, will not be tolerated. I reserve the right to remove anyone from the hearing that does not follow these rules. I also have the discretion to amend these rules as I deem appropriate as we go through the hearing.

Speakers are allowed to present exhibits in the form of pictures, maps, etc. In the event maps or pictures or any exhibit is utilized, they will be retained by Buchanan County for purposes of maintaining their record of the hearing.

Each speaker will have a single opportunity to provide input unless I determine, based on time, that that should be altered. Rebuttal testimony will not be permitted without specific approval of myself.

If the posted ending time for the public hearing tonight arrives without all speakers having had the opportunity to speak, the hearing will be in recess and
reconvened at the last scheduled date. At this point, no scheduled date has been set. So if it's necessary to have another hearing because not everyone can be heard, then one will be established, and public notice will be given as to the date of the new hearing.

For those of you that didn't hear me, my name is Abe Shafer. I'm a retired circuit judge and have been a member of the Missouri Bar for over 51 years. And I am honored to be here to moderate this meeting.

A couple of additional comments, if everyone would be kind enough to turn their phones on silent, I would appreciate that very much. I'll be keeping time, so you may hear a beep out of mine, but hopefully that's all you will hear. I can't assure you of that. It's very embarrassing -- it was very embarrassing as a Judge when my phone was the one that went off in the courtroom. So I always understood people's phones going off, and I still do. But if you can, if you can turn it off, that would be greatly appreciated.

Certainly, when a speaker concludes, applause only will be permitted. Please, as I mentioned earlier, please don't interrupt a speaker. I know there will not be any, but $I$ should probably mention that no foul or abusive language should be used in this public hearing. Let's get right on into the hearing and giving you all
an opportunity to speak. The next speaker I have on my list from last night is Ryan Richter.

## RYAN RICHTER

MR. RICHTER: Good evening, everyone. Thank you, Commissioners. Thank you, Your Honor. Thank you, Buchanan County.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you.
MR. RICHTER: My name is Ryan Richter. My address is 1 Shadow Ridge, Easton, Missouri 64443. This is where my wife Lisa, our three kids and our three dogs live. Lisa and I moved to Buchanan County in 2004. We had wanted to move to the country for as long as I can remember, as we both came from farm families in northern Iowa.

We're employed at Altec Industries. I'm an engineer through education from Iowa State University. I'm a plant manager responsible for unit production and profit and loss, the importance of that. I'm here tonight because my family and I will be greatly affected by the proposed wind turbine project. Our home and our property of 20 acres sits on a ridge east of Bessie Ellison Elementary School.

For clarification, I want it to be known that I'm not anti-green energy. In 2019 we purchased solar panels which generate 25 kilowatts. I've identified
areas of Draft Three that would benefit your residents of Buchanan County if revised. It's known that the blades of turbines emit sound from the whirling wind past them. It's known because Chapter 4, Section 4 addresses this. In Table 2, Section 4, the ordinance states that sound levels not to exceed 50 decibels per participating parcel, and 45 decibels per nonparticipating parcel. What does that mean?

I brought this visual aid. I am going to step away from the microphone. I brought this visual aid made by General Electric showing wind turbines, examples of items with similar sound levels and the levels diminish as the distance from the source increases. The graph shows the sound level at the tower will be as loud as a gasoline powered lawnmower. As you're aware -- as you move away from the tower, the comparative items are less noisy. The chart places residential homes a safe distance of 300 meters. That's 1,000 feet for us Americans, where the sound would be louder than a refrigerator, but more quiet than an AC unit. Again, that's GE.

I'm going to circle back to that article at the end here because sound levels alone are not the way to measure noise. Sound must be considered from decibels and frequency. In the mid-80s, a researcher by the name
of Neil Kelly headed a group of researchers under the auspice of the US Department of Energy and NASA. They found conclusive evidence that adverse wind effects, very similar to what's known as wind turbine syndrome, were due primarily to low frequency sound and inaudible infrasound.

They wrote, The current sound standards based as they are on decibels, weighted acoustic measurement, gives particular weight to audible frequencies in the soundscape, but very little weight, or no weight, to low sound frequencies or infrasound, particularly below 10 hertz (Hz), which comprise a significant portion of the sound generated by large turbines. People do not hear decibels. We hear quantitatively different sounds, birds, insects, running water, rustling leaves and trees, etc. Basing noise criteria solely on a single number ignores the unique nature of the sound produced by large wind turbines.

It is constantly changing loudness, frequency, harmonics, pitch, impulse, quality. It is precisely these qualities that make the sound feel so intrusive and annoying, especially in quiet rural environments where these projects are usually located.

Parenthetically, the word annoying is somewhat misleading as it implies a minor, temporary, occasional
nuisance that perhaps might be mostly ignored rather than what it truly is, a repetitive stressor that can degrade one's short- and long-term health and well-being, and from where there is no escape over the lifetime of the project short of having to abandon one's home.

Acoustic technicians have known for decades how to predict community reaction to new noise. In 1974, the United States Environmental Protection Agency published a methodology that can be used to communicate -- to predict the community reaction to a new noise. This simple chart can be used to show the community reactions. On the $Y$ axis on the left versus the noise level, $X$ axis, on the bottom. This chart was developed from 55 community noise case studies, which are the black squares plotted on that graph. The baseline noise levels include adjustments for the existing ambient prior noise experience and sound character. The predicted wind turbine noise level is plotted on the X axis. And the predicted community reaction is determined by the highest reaction indicated by the black squares.

I want to give you some examples. A 32-decibel sound will typically generate sporadic complaints. A 37-decibel sound will generate widespread complaints by
at least 25 percent of the population.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Mr. McGuire (sic.), you and I spoke last night about some additional time for you.

MR. RICHTER: Yes, sir.
MODERATOR SHAFER: And I agreed to give you some additional time.

MR. RICHTER: I appreciate it, Your Honor, thank you.

MODERATOR SHAFER: I wanted everyone to understand.
MR. RICHTER: Thank you. At readily increased -Oh, I'm sorry. I jumped a paragraph. That's why I'm lost.

MODERATOR SHAFER: I caused that. I'm sorry, sir.
MR. RICHTER: So we left off at 37 decibels, annoying at least 25 percent to the point where they're going to have widespread complaints. If you take that all the way up to 45 decibels, strong -- up here to this group, strong appeals to stop the noise, and that's 45 percent of the population.

Research found that when wind turbine noise level reached 35 decibels, six percent of the population was highly annoyed. And this rapidly increased to 25 at 40 decibels. Independent researchers recommend that noise levels should not exceed 33 decibels, which is near the upper limit for sporadic complaints.

Buchanan County's population is 89,000 strong. St. Joseph City's population is 76,000. The difference is 13,000. Those 13,000 residents -- those 13,000 are Buchanan County's rural residents. The current noise limit is set at 45 decibels. Using this chart, I can expect 6,000 or more residents will be complaining about the noise and making strong appeals for it to be stopped.

Why does Draft Three, Chapter 4, Subsection C exist? For those unfamiliar with the zoning regulation, I'll tell you. Wind energy development will not be approved within approximately a two-mile radius around the city of St. Joseph. Does this rule exist because Black and Veatch knew of this chart, and that dealing with 6,000 complaints would be far better than dealing with 40,000 complaints?

Now, back to the published article from General Electric. Because wind turbines are such great sources of clean, renewable energy, they're usually greeted with a great deal of enthusiasm. But some complaints have been made that they cause too much noise for residents living within a mile of the blades. So just how noisy are these turbines? The closest a wind turbine is typically placed to a home is 300 meters. At that distance, a wind turbine will have a sound pressure
level of 43 decibels. At 500 meters, one-third mile, again for us Americans, that sound pressure level drops to 38 decibels. In most places, according to Keith Longden of GE Renewable Energy, background noise ranges from 40 to 45 decibels, meaning that a turbine's noise will be lost amongst it.

However, for the stillest most rural areas, Longden said background noise is 30 decibels. At that level, a turbine located about a mile away wouldn't be heard. With GE, the company who designs these machines admitting that a one-mile setback would be required to protect the tranquility and peace of our residents in Buchanan County, how can our Commissioners allow anything less than a one-mile setback to protect their voters, us, the residents and friends of Buchanan County? Thank you.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, Mr. Richter.
MR. RICHTER: This can go on the record. I'll set it right here.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you very much, sir. Ms. Richter, do you wish to speak also?

MR. RICHTER: My wife wasn't able to come tonight, sir.

MODERATOR SHAFER: I understand. You were listed as the speaker, so I presumed you were the spokesperson
for the family tonight. Jesse England.
MR. ENGLAND: Yes, sir.
MODERATOR SHAFER: You may proceed with you're ready, Mr. England.

## JESSE ENGLAND

MR. ENGLAND: Thank you, Your Honor.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Yes, sir.
MR. ENGLAND: Good evening. I appreciate this opportunity to speak with you tonight. Again, my name is Jesse England. I reside at 9016 Northeast Hurlingen Road, just over the hill from Bessie Ellison School. Back in the '90s, I helped build Werner Enterprises to the second largest trucking company. I've done all kinds of extensive research based on carbon footprints, environmentally friendly operations, specifically in the long-term trucking operations. We studied fuel burn, tire wear and tear, those kind of things. In fact, one of my claim to fames is obviously creating the paperless log system that we know today.

Since I've moved to St. Joe in '05, I was an integral part in building Dohrn Transfer. I tried to build it here. It was built over at Cameron, three miles west of Cameron north of 36 Highway. Since then, I've played an integral part in building both biodiesel plants here. I am all about renewable fuel and
renewable energy. In fact, I believe all of us probably as a community as well as stewards of our earth are all about renewable energy. But let's focus on whether it's the most cost-effective, efficient renewable energy.

You've obviously heard testimony, and I could inundate you with information, but now is not the time or place. I guess I challenge you on doing your due diligence in finding out truly if it's all about renewable energy, is it the most cost-effective, most applicable for our community? We have a river that's a stone's throw away here and likes to flood. Has anybody considered hydro? If it's all about renewable energy, is it truly, quote unquote, "renewable energy"?

Clearly, I'm also a businessman. I've been a professional businessman most of my adult life. I currently have four businesses here in town. I have four college degrees. My point is, as a businessman, as a renewable -- I've worked in the government sector, agricultural sector. My point is, I'm also about socioeconomic and revenue generation for our community. Clearly, I think we all are interested in the socioeconomic benefit of our community.

But again, is it all about the money? There is a cost benefit analysis on is it really worth the money or not? So again, my challenge is to ask. Have we really
solicited -- if it's truly about green energy and if it's truly about revenue generation, have we truly solicited for our community? Because this is life altering for our community. So anything that is life altering, have we really considered or solicited the best renewable "green energy" that's the best application for us? Have we really factored in whether this is going to be the most revenue generating for our community and our residents? Is it really going to create X-many jobs? Is it really going to generate that much money for our schools and our communities, our roads, our bridges and so forth?

My point is, all we have to do is follow the money. We are not reinventing the wheel here. We can look to our prairie states to the northwest, which is truly where these things are designed to be, Kansas, North Dakota. We had a Houston attorney here saying these things are all over Texas. Follow the money.

Follow the litigation. I can tell you that most of these large corporations, and I've worked for a lot of them, trust me. They like to come into small, rural areas. And I'm from there. I have a family farm that's an hour and a half north of here. I also have a farm, like I mentioned, north of St. Joseph. I'm all about farming, clean air, stewards of the environment.

The point is, if you follow the money and the litigation, you're going to find out large corporations come into these smaller communities with no Planning and Zoning. And once they get their hooks in them and there are deep pockets, it's very difficult to get them out. I've seen the desecration for families up in Iowa, personal friends of mine. They'll literally just -- as you've heard the testimonies of some of these gentlemen here, it takes 100 years for this one turbine to pay for itself.

Look at that due diligence, find out and check the numbers. I've seen people that basically said, Hey, you know, I'm a retired farmer. Supplemental income would be nice. I totally agree with them. But is it truly smoke and mirrors or is it truly renewable energy? Is it truly as cost-effective as what they claim? Because clearly they're going to purport, you know, the best side of things. They may leave out some very specific details on the benefits of this.

They've given up. They're handing down century-old farms, which clearly $I$ know some of my neighbors, the Miners, Shadow Ridge, Barry Birr. Some of these people are my neighbors. I would never put anything like that on my farm because I appreciate it. This is one of the reasons why I moved down here from Omaha, Nebraska.

So I challenge you to do your due diligence, follow the money, follow the litigation. Because, again, all these other states have done this. They've been there. They have the same issues. They have the same problems. I'm sure you've heard the old eminent domain that is mentioned by utility companies, once it goes there. You've heard of the decommissions. Again, I'm not going to be repetitive with all of that. I'm not going to bore you with all those.

Clearly, I simply ask you as a human being, as a citizen of this community, as a local businessperson. I appreciate, obviously, you looking at all the other evidence that's already out there. Okay? It's just a matter of just doing what my neighbors have presented here tonight. It's readily available. We're not reinventing the wheel. So please look at that again, please. Thank you very much for your time. I appreciate your service.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, Mr. England. Russell King.

Richard Ashley DeShon.
Nancy Folkert, $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{T}$.
Charlie Robbins or Teresa Robbins.
Debbie Buzzaro or Buzzard, I'm having a little trouble. Ms. Buzzard.

Tamara Christianson.
Roger Fisher.
MR. FISHER: Yes.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Would you like to speak, Mr. Fisher?

MR. FISHER: Yes, sir.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Please. Are you upstairs, sir?
MR. FISHER: Yes, I am. You want me to come down there?

MODERATOR SHAFER: Commissioner, would you prefer he come down here, or would you go to him, sir?

COMMISSIONER HOOK: We'll come up to you. John, you want to catch this?

MODERATOR SHAFER: That was a nice catch. We hope to see some of those on Sunday.

MR. HOOK: Here, you go.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Mr. Fisher, you are recognized, sir.

## ROGER FISHER

MR. FISHER: Thank you. My name is Roger Fisher. I reside at 13051 Hurlingen Road in Easton, Missouri in Marion County. I want to speak tonight because I see that this wind turbines, the idea of it, is dividing our community. I see how people are reacting, the ones who may be prone to have it on their property and those that
are not. I see that, you know, that it's dealing with relationship with our neighbors. I think we already have so much division in our country now as we see our politics and morals and values and everybody has their own. And I just think that one more thing we don't need. So I am totally against these wind turbines. I would like to see a total ban on them. That's my comment tonight. Thank you.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, Mr. Fisher.
Charles Sailor.
Pat Samson.
Susan Harris.

## SUSAN HARRIS

MODERATOR SHAFER: You may proceed, Ms. Harris. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. Hello. My name is Susan Harris. I live at 2400 Jackson Street, St. Joseph, Buchanan County, Missouri. And I oppose wind farms in my county.

This is a simple choice. Wind farm tax revenue or continued quality of life. Sorry. Let's learn from other counties' mistakes. We can be smart and progressive about this and ban them. We can be on the right side of history on this. Or we can forever regret having allowed industrial wind turbines in. We are in danger of losing our county's precious natural heritage
of beautiful countryside, peace and quiet, thousands of songbirds and raptors and dark night skies. Is this somebody's idea of a way to pay for the new high school? If so, what a poor bargain, to build a new high school that nobody wants by permanently ruining the countryside that everybody loves.

NextEra sued neighboring counties that didn't want their wind turbines. They did not win. We do not have to take our marching orders from NextEra, its law firm or any other wind turbine company. They are in a rush to build these things because the federal government has been considering phasing out the subsidies. Let's not let NextEra rush us.

It seems that NextEra has even convinced us that we can't ban them at all, only maybe put in some regulations. Person after person last night said that what they really wanted was a ban. But if that wasn't possible, they wanted at least a one-mile setback. Don't be fooled. Of course we can ban them, just like other counties in the Midwest and all over the country already have done. Our regulations can say no commercial wind turbines allowed, period.

If they sue us, we will win. Even Los Angeles County, California has banned commercial wind turbines in unincorporated areas. We should not be bullied by
big companies or blinded by promise of tax revenue. How much money would an industrial wind turbine company need to pay us in exchange for ruining the beauty and quality of life of Buchanan County? I don't think there's enough money in the world.

Theodore Roosevelt said, "Here is your country. Cherish these natural wonders. Cherish the natural resources. Cherish the history and the romance as a sacred heritage for your children and for your children's children. Do not let selfish men or greedy interests skin your country of its beauty, its riches or its romance."

Please, let's don't let wind turbine companies skin Buchanan County of its beauty, its riches or its romance. Thank you.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, Ms. Harris.
Mike Harris.
Brad Drake. Is it Sheena Drake? Either Brad or Sheena Drake.

Larry Hahn.
MR. HAHN: Here.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Would you like to speak, sir?
MR. HAHN: Yes, sir.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Please come up.

## LARRY HAHN

MR. HAHN: First of all, thank you for this opportunity. America is a great country. I'd like to keep it that way. My name is Larry Hahn. My wife Donna and I have owned and lived at 330 Southeast 68th Road, Washington Township, St. Joseph, Missouri 64507 since the 15th of May, 1962. We're one mile south of Missouri Highway 6 and State Route $W$ and the (inaudible) Methodist Church. I'm speaking not only to those present here from Buchanan County, the Planning and Zoning members and the County Commissioners, we at Washington Township have no representative present, and have not had any for most of the meetings that $I$ have attended.

First, I want to thank Scott Burnham for his assistance in this matter and the discussions I've had with him on this. I thank Scott and Lee Sawyer for their responses to my letters. Donna and I know of no other home in the area that has a more wide, beautiful panoramic view than our hilltop. Most of you have never been out there. If you have, we look from Mosaic -- we look out our kitchen window to Mosaic Hospital, down Riverside, clear down to the High Point on 169, back around across the east to Saxton's, up Z to San Antonio Ridge and around and back to the Ellison school.

Beautiful sight for miles and miles, up to three or four miles.

We're about 120 feet higher than the 102 River and the Platte. It's just a beautiful view out there. You can't believe it. It wasn't like that when we moved there 58 years ago this May. Within a half-mile of our east property line, there are two active eagle nests. Then in our southeast pasture is a pond with a single tree, an old tree, it's dying. It's a favorite place for this eagle to rest after he's -- we see him there resting, we've taken pictures of him. This is about 150 yards east of our grain bin and barn.

Last Saturday while out at the barn with my son Tim and a friend, this eagle flew by. Beautiful. Look at him. He sure flew down to look at us. And then he flew on his way. His big white head, it unbelievable. If you haven't been able to see that regularly, you don't know what it's like.

Let's go to the Draft Three, page 4, General Requirements, Section 428. The permit fee for turbines is listed as $\$ 5,000$. Draft Two, it was $\$ 15,000$. Is there a reason why it's lower?

Page 4, I'll quote part of this. This provision does not apply to future response repowering of the project. The attorneys have left open there something
where they can change it after they get started.
Page 5, Permit Application Process, number four. This hearing will be noticed in the largest circulation newspaper for at least 15 days. Well, today many people do not take the paper. So it also needs to be announced in the local TV station and radio stations about any meetings that are held.

Page 6, VI, Permit Application Contents. They use the word turbine technology plan by developers. Why can't the public present some proven technology and information also? Yes, we'd have to hire it, but, you know, there's two sides to this stuff. They're going to present what they want.

Page 6, number 12. An application fee in the amount of $\$ 5,000$ per turbine. Draft Two version said \$15,000. What happened again? Again, is there a typo or what? That's a $\$ 10,000$ difference.

Page 7, number 15. Consent is granted to allow noise levels to exceed the national limits otherwise allowed. You just had a good presentation by the fellow here on noise limits. There should be no -- it says if applicable in parentheses. There's to be no allowance excepting, period. Set a standard and stick to it.

Setback. Well, that's a big issue, we all know. Set up a half-mile originally in the past. We've asked
for one mile, which makes more sense. You've heard the stories about the distance, the decibels and all that. Minimum setback of one mile should be it. No one said it will go through. But if it does, one mile is the minimum setback from the property line. There's nothing wrong with a two-mile setback.

A few weeks ago in the St. Joe paper, there was an article about in Nebraska they're going to a two-mile setback. And they do not have the population that we have.

Page 9, eagle nest. The conservation plan guidelines is two-mile standard, grant no waivers once you reach that point.

Page $11(\mathrm{c})$. Wind energy development will not be approved within approximately a two-mile radius around the city of St. Joe. Is that the Missouri River? Is it downtown? Or is it the city limits? They need to clarify. It says approximately.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Mr. Hahn, you have one minute remaining, sir.

MR. HAHN: What?
MODERATOR SHAFER: One minute remaining, sir. You're running over just a little bit. You may have another minute.

MR. HAHN: That's fine. I'm on my last page here.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, sir.
MR. HAHN: Now, look at the Buchanan County map of the Townships. Then look at the breakdown of the Buchanan County population. You've already heard there's 89,000 population. Washington Township has a population of 78,496 people. That's 88 percent of the population of this county resides in Washington Township alone. We have no representative present. We haven't had one for most of the time. Look at Lake Township. It's got a population of 35. And they've got a representative here. We need some help.

Remember these drafts are oriented to be in favor of NextEra, not we tax payers, property owners or whatever. First, I'd like to have no wind turbines. We do not want to create a Hatfield/McCoy situation, which is we know what happened out in DeKalb County. It may happen either way, but it will be a lot less damage if there's no wind turbines. Even if there's a mile setback, turbines height should be under 500 feet.

I'm praying that you guys will do what's best for the property owners and taxpayers. Thank you. Thank you.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, Mr. Hahn.
Katherine Manville.
Dennis Vogel.

Dustin Creal.
Michele McCoy.
George McCoy.
Tina Goodrick.
Leslie Turner.
Richard Claycomb.
Anne Altorfer.
Glen Porter
Will Perland.
MR. PENLAND: I'm here.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Mr. Perland?
MR. PENLAND: It's Penland.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Yes, sir. Mr. Perland, you may proceed, sir.

MR. PENLAND: So my name is Will Penland.
MODERATOR SHAFER: I'm sorry.
MR. PENLAND: That's okay.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Mr. Penland.
WILL PENLAND
MR. PENLAND: I'm 42 years old, father of two. And I've been in St. Joe for many years. I work at Boehringer Ingelheim. I do vital statistics for them. And I just recently purchased property in Easton, Missouri, 4 Shadow Ridge Drive.

I own a home on Lover's Lane in St. Joe near the

Parkway system. I'm very happy with that. I love St. Joseph. It's a wonderful community with a lot of really good people and families and churches and organizations.

Shadow Ridge is beautiful. There's 30 acres there. We spend a lot of time out there. And just today I was out there. I was thinking about this, and I just want to say that I was really touched by all the testimonies last night. These families and people that have lived east of town all of their lives, it really impacted me to a point where I, for the most part informationally speaking, I was here to learn quite a bit about this. And now I felt compelled to say something.

Looking out across this land that we just purchased, it's so naturally beautiful. And I would say that the sunrises and sunsets and so on would definitely be nuisanced by wind turbines. There's no question that these things would eclipse the natural beauty of the countryside. And I think that that's shared by all the people that gave their testimonies yesterday.

So my fiancée and I have a lot of plans out there with trails and letting dogs and horses and children play. We love during parties, and ultimately having bonfires and family get-togethers and things like that. And I just can't help but imagine that we wouldn't be
really sad and disheartened to see these very large, significantly large wind turbines.

So professionally speaking, I evaluate new technology all the time. And I have to make decisions as to the value that that adds. And I've done quite a bit of research today on these devices, and they don't really seem to be a worthwhile investment. So personally, you know, personally $I$ would definitely oppose that.

But I would also say that there's one other topic that I heard mentioned here today. We have these beautiful bald eagles, and the wildlife impact. There seems to be such a negative impact that these wind turbines cause on the natural environment, especially with watching the bald eagles so often. I just can't imagine that these things wouldn't impact birds, migratory and otherwise.

So my position is that they would be a nuisance and that as far as cost, cost is concerned, you know, the installation associated with it and also the decommissioning costs associated with it, I can't imagine how all of that infrastructure necessary to install those on all this farmland, to me that seems that it's just really not warranted. So that's my position.

Please honor -- ideally it would be a ban, you know, that you would have. And I will add one other thing, that when we drive out to Colorado, the eastern side of Colorado, western Kansas, and you go into Limon Colorado and you see those hundreds and hundreds of red blinking lights flashing everywhere, and you just see all those turbines. They're very unnatural, unnatural-looking. So, you know, I would say that that would be a real nuisance. And Being so proud of St. Joseph, I would hate to think that that would be an attribute that we would think of regarding St. Joe.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, Mr. Penland.
Denise Colhour. I'm sorry. Are you going to tell me how to pronounce it?

MS. COLHOUR: You're very close.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you.

## DENISE COLHOUR

MS. COLHOUR: Thank you to the Planning and Zoning Commission. I understand that you're volunteers, and I appreciate your time.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Would you please give your name and address to the court reporter?

MS. COLHOUR: Yes, I will. Thank you, Your Honor. MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you so much.

MS. COLHOUR: My name is Denise Colhour. I live at

11881 Northeast Hurlingen Road, Easton, Missouri. I have a vested interest in being here this evening. I was born in St. Joseph at the old Methodist Hospital. My first family home was on Duncan Street. I later was raised in Maysville, Missouri in DeKalb County, but came back to St. Joseph specifically to live in Buchanan County in the country as an adult. And I served the St. Joseph School District as their Director of Special Services for a number of years.

I purchased 10 acres, and I have a small hobby farm. One of my neighbors takes care of the pasture for me. But I built a brand-new home, and my intention is to stay. We are blessed in Buchanan County that we have an urban area of St. Joseph and we also have beautiful countryside. And I love the peaceful enjoyment that I get living on Hurlingen Road.

And I want you to please consider, and I do appreciate your full attention to all of us tonight. Please consider a ban on industrial-sized wind turbines. You do not have to allow these in Buchanan County. But if you should choose to write and continue along the lines which you have with this draft, I want you to take some things into consideration.

I'm very curious as to how you determine that the citizens of Buchanan County, which live in the

St. Joseph city limits, are being granted a two-mile setback, but your other citizens in Buchanan County are not? By what means did you reach that conclusion? Did you do a need survey? Did you do research? On what basis do the citizens of St. Joseph get a two-mile setback, but the rest of us do not?

If you do not have research backing your decision, then it appears to be capricious and perhaps even discriminatory solely based on where my property is purchased. So please consider that. I think many of us are wondering how is it what is good for one group of citizens is not good for all of us?

Really, in closing, I just ask that you please consider a ban. And if not, please take into consideration the hundreds of people that have signed a petition asking you to fairly consider at least a one-mile setback. What you are proposing in your draft is significantly different. But yet no reason has been offered. So please allow us to continue enjoying and loving living in Buchanan County. It's peaceful. Our views are wonderful. It's quiet. And we are blessed to be here. I thank you very much for your time, and I think the County Commissioners also.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you. Ken Lewis.
MR. LEWIS: Thank you.

## KENNETH LEWIS

MR. LEWIS: I shouldn't have sat that far back in. Thank you for allowing me to share just a moment on this very important subject. My name is Kenneth Lewis. I live here in the city, 5607 Long View Drive, St. Joseph, Missouri 64503. I'm an area pastor.

And quite frankly, when $I$ get in front of a mic, I'm a little bit tempted to preach. But I'll just hold off on that just a little bit because this is not the time for that. Being a pastor and preaching the gospel, I'm in the people business. I care about people. I care about their lives. I care about their eternity. I'm writing to urge you today to say no to wind towers in Buchanan County. In general, these towers will make lives miserable. They will destroy property values. People will not be able to talk on their cell phones or watch their television because of these towers.

That said, these things cause problems between families and between neighbors. I've seen this. Many times this product produces hate-filled speech where there used to be warm greetings in the store and in the places of business. It's hard not to hate when a person next door has decided that having more money in their pocket is more valuable than their neighbor's home or their neighbor's health.

And if you happen to be one of those unfortunate people that have those towers in your neighborhood, you can't just sell out and move because all of a sudden your place is not worth much anymore because of the towers. No wonder people are tempted to hate and feel hurt.

I told you a few minutes ago that I'm in the people business. It worries me about what's happening in our nation. We're becoming very hateful. Very few put any value on other people's lives. You see, it's all about number one. It's all about money too. Our nation is fighting against itself. It's man against man and woman against woman. No longer can we agree to disagree. When you disagree with someone, they hate you and they speak ill of you and they just try to destroy you. I really hope and pray this doesn't happen here in our county and in our city. But it can, and it will if we care only about ourselves or about money, and not about our friends and our neighbors around us and even the people we do not know.

I know you guys did not cause this hate and disunity among people. However, you on the Zoning Board and on the County Commission have a great opportunity. You can step up to the plate. You can do what's right by every person that lives in this county. Your choice
in ruling on this matter must not be about money or about school districts or whatever, but about people, all the people, not just a few landowners or a few school districts.

You guys on the Zoning Board can just say no to wind energy. Just say we don't need it. It's the right thing to do. Those of you on the Buchanan County Commission, you have the opportunity to step up to the plate and do what's right as well, and just say no to wind energy. Even if the Planning and Zoning Committee approve it, do your part to serve all the people in the county, not just a few. Please do your part to keep unity and harmony in our community. It is far more valuable. Thank you for allowing me to speak.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, sir. Deborah Birr.

## DEBORAH BIRR

MS. BIRR: My name is Deborah Birr. I live east of St. Joseph near Bessie Ellison School, \#3 Shadow Ridge, Easton, Missouri, 64443. Thank you guys for allowing me to address you tonight.

I'd love to quote from the County Commissioners' handout, which Judge Shafer read last night before he opened this hearing for public comment. I quote, "After the public hearings, the Planning and Zoning Commission will conduct a work session to amend the Black and

Veatch draft. The Planning and Zoning Commission is permitted to change this preliminary draft and construct a final version that best fits the unique characteristics of Buchanan County. Once the Planning and Zoning Commission approves a final draft, their recommendation is then sent to the Buchanan County Commission for final approval or denial."

On behalf of Friends of Buchanan County, I have a request for each one of you. Change this preliminary draft to reflect the input from the dozens of residents who stood up last night, who came out again tonight and are continuing to stand up and ask each one of you guys to protect the county. Send the Commissioners a final version that best fits the unique characteristics of Buchanan County, a first-class, densely populated urban county. Make it simple. Replace this 18-page draft from Black and Veatch with a one-sentence regulation. Commercial wind energy conversion systems are not allowed in Buchanan County. Thank you.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you. Last night I read answers to frequently asked questions. I did not reread it tonight. I think as our last witness, Ms. Birr, pointed out, there are some things that -- for those of you that weren't here last night --

MR. HOOK: Judge, talk into the mic.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, sir. Last night -it quit working, didn't it?

MR. HOOK: You wore it out.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Then it's time for me to be quiet. Hello. Thank you, sir. Thank you. Last night I did read frequently asked questions -- answers to frequently asked questions. I omitted doing that at the beginning of the hearing, and there are a number of new people here, so $I$ want to read those again.

Why are regulations necessary? Currently there are no regulations specific to wind energy projects in the Buchanan County Zoning Order. If a wind energy company wanted to bring a project to our county, there is no framework in place to determine under what conditions the project would or would not be allowed. The Buchanan County Commission has requested that regulations be put in place to address potential future wind energy projects. These regulations would set specific rules for anyone wishing to establish a wind energy project in Buchanan County. All zoning regulations are in an effort to balance the freedom of people being able to do what they desire with their property against the protection of the neighboring properties and the community as a whole.

The process is as follows: Since wind energy
regulations require a great deal of scientific -- or this has been the process, and engineering knowledge, the Buchanan County Commission retained the engineering firm Black and Veatch to draft preliminary guidelines. They researched how other communities dealt with the issue and provided a preliminary draft. This initial draft was presented in the Planning and Zoning Commission at two different meetings and has been posted online.

The next step in the process is to gather input from the community and interested parties, and this is done via the public hearing last night and the public hearing tonight. These hearings are an opportunity for the Planning and Zoning Commission to listen and determine what issues are important to those involved.

After the public hearings, the Planning and Zoning Commission will conduct a work session to amend the Black and Veatch draft. The Planning and Zoning Commission is permitted to change this preliminary draft and construct a final version that best fits the unique characteristics of Buchanan County.

Once the Planning and Zoning Commission approves the final draft, their recommendation is sent to the Buchanan County Commission for a final approval or a denial.

What is a setback? A setback is a minimum required distance between a building project and a geographic item. For instance, if there is a property line setback of 2,640 feet, one half of a mile, the project cannot be built closer than the distance to the property line. Multiple setbacks can apply to the same project. For instance, a project might contain a property line setback and a habitable structure setback. This means the project must be a certain distance from the property line and must also be a certain distance from any habitable structure.

When there are multiple setbacks that apply, typically the builder has to comply with all of them to meet the code requirements.

Mr. Hahn, your name is on the list for tonight. You've already spoken, right, sir?

MR. HAHN: Yes, sir.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Greg Kerns will be our next speaker.

## GREG KERNS

MR. KERNS: Hi. My name is Greg Kerns. I live at 8779 Northwest 356. It's in Stewartsville. I live in Clinton County, however, I own 80 acres on 135 th just south of 36 Highway. It's an Easton address.

My concern with -- it's kind of different than
everybody else's. I'm not for them at all. But I would like to just think about it. If this wind company doesn't prepay for taking these down, they're going to be bankrupt whenever this, you know, 20 years goes by, 25 years maybe. I don't know. I mean, think about it. We're all old enough to remember big huge cell phones down to small ones. If we can't get these taken down, they're going to be cluttering up the landscape for our grandkids, great-grandkids. It's just going to be a mess. That's one of my concerns.

At my house I have 25 KW solar panels. I can get them took down probably in an afternoon with a Bobcat by myself. I've got a friend of mine that owns a demolition excavation company. I asked him what he would do if somebody called to take down a 600-foot tall tower, and he just laughed. It's not going to happen. They're going to be all over the place. You know, just common sense.

This is a real live -- about a year ago I was out by Amity doing some work on a guy's house. And I just kind of asked him, just talking you know, What do you think about these windmills? He's got a small acreage. He might have five acres, I don't know. He said, Well, the biggest issue is, he said, just go out there and stand. It was a morning like this morning out in the
country, real cold out. It was in March. I didn't really understand at first. But I just stood there a minute. It just sounds like an airplane in the distance that never comes or never goes. It's just there.

You know, there's so much here, so many different things we can do. You know, I'm not against wind energy, but $I$ don't think this is -- just think about it. It's just common sense. It's not very smart. I'm worried about maybe not the years they're running, but things change too fast. You know, you guys remember cassette tapes, eight tracks. I mean, that's a little thing, but we remember when they were invented and we remember when they went away. It's pretty quick.

So these things may be, you know, a year or two we can do one that's 100 feet tall, a few more years 50 feet. So if we're going to do them, they need to put in, you know, the mile setbacks. And, honestly, they need to just ban them to 30 feet tall. Heck with 500 feet, that's still too tall. I can knock 30 feet over by myself. I can't 500 .

Anyway, thanks for listening, and that's my idea. MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, sir. Kevin Kuwatz.

MR. KURTZ: Kurtz.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Kurtz. I'm sorry, sir. You have excellent writing. It's my reading skills that are
the problem.

## KEVIN KURTZ

MR. KURTZ: My name is Kevin Kurtz. And I live at 3129 St. Joseph Avenue. I've been here for 20 -plus years. Thank you for listening to all of us tonight and considering all this with great care. I, like everyone that I've heard speak so far, I care about people. I care about families and friends and everyone that lives in our communities.

The reason I'm against the wind farms is a little bit different angle. I know that family members and friends and people in the community around us need jobs. And they need good quality jobs. Although these jobs that come with these wind farms may seem like come-and-go jobs, they can lead people, young people, people of all -- men and women that need jobs to lead into good quality careers, an opportunity and a place to get started.

I'm an iron worker by trade. And some 15 years ago when the first wind turbines in our area started going up, a lot of -- I've got friends and family here that worked with us on those first original wind farms, and they used local help. And the more they put up, the more the local help get kicked out. The closer they got to town, the more the local help got kicked out.

You can go up into Atchison County and Nodaway County and talk to the folks that were involved with those. And I can assure you they'll tell you NextEra and all these big wind -- multi-billion-dollar bullies that come into town, they'll tell you that they're going to use local help. But I personally delivered, when they said that they were going to use local help, I personally delivered over 100 applications of men and women that were overqualified and had experience in that work, and they said that there was not enough qualified help in the area. So for that reason, I think they need to be checked. I think these big wind companies need to be put in their place. That's my thoughts.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, sir. Catherine Jill Blazer.

MS. BLAZER: I'm here.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Do you desire to speak, Ms. Blazer?

MS. BLAZER: Yes.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Please come up.

## CATHERINE JILL BLAZER

MS. BLAZER: Hi. My name is Catherine Blazer. My friends and family know me as Jill, which is my middle name, which is what I go by. My husband Steve and I live at 12900 Northeast State Route 6, which is on the
eastern side of Buchanan County. And we have a farm there that we've lived there for about 12 years.

We also -- I just wanted to make sure you knew, we do have a grass airstrip as many rural farmers do. And just to follow up a little bit, we did find out that FAA regulations defer to county zoning regarding setbacks. So I believe it is on -- I'm looking at the third draft, Draft Three Zoning Ordinance. On page 8 it says the setbacks. It says the setback for airports needs to be per FAA guidelines. And FAA guidelines defer back to zoning. So basically if -- our preference would be to ban wind turbines all together, but if you were going to continue to revise the ordinance here, there would need to be something specific for setback for airports, and not defer to FAA guidelines.

The state of Kansas House Bill proposes a three-mile setback for airports. I think that was the main point $I$ wanted to follow up on. Thank you very much.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you very much. Ladies and gentlemen, I have called everyone I have listed who has signed in. Is there anybody who I failed to call?

The last two witnesses, then -- I have allowed the request of Mr . Steve Blazer to make a point. He asked if he could make one more point. He didn't tell me what
it was. Mr. Blazer, you have one minute, sir. You have one minute, sir, thank you.

## STEVE BLAZER

MR. BLAZER: Hello. My wife -- this is Steve Blazer again, but same address. I wanted to make the point again, $I$ think she brought it up about the runway. But I covered some of the wave turbulence issues in the aircraft and aerial spraying and so forth. But the wave turbulence isn't just that issue. It also affects the homeowners and the wildlife around there.

I just pulled up today, there was a picture of what it may look like. I'll leave this. For miles all these spreads out close to the ground. In your house when a thunderstorm comes and the winds batting around the house, that's turbulent air. Essentially these, if you're in the right position downwind of these things and you've got 20- to 30 -mile-an-hour winds, your house and everything around your house, the birds, the bees, is going to be in this unsettled air that they're not going to enjoy flying in. So $I$ believe that it's going to affect the eagles and the hawks and songbirds. I'm a beekeeper too. And the bees -- when a thunderstorm comes, you look outside and you see everything flying around. They're going to have to transition through these areas that are constantly with wind beating you
from every which direction.
So I think it is going to drastically affect the wildlife. This study right here was saying, you know, the wind companies were looking at it because the turbine that's behind the first turbine, the turbulence is severe enough they're talking about structural damage to the blades of the turbines in the wake.

I just wanted to try and make some of those points, that it wasn't just my aviation. The turbulence is and the setbacks. The turbulence will affect everybody who would be downwind of these things.

MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, Mr. Blazer. You may leave that with the clerk if you'd like, sir. Thank you, sir.

Stephanie McGuire.
MS. McGUIRE: Still here.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Please proceed. Your 10 minutes begins now.

## STEPHANIE McGUIRE

MS. McGUIRE: Thank you. Okay.
MODERATOR SHAFER: And you may leave information
with the clerk also if you'd like.
MS. McGUIRE: All right. Thank you. Can you guys hear me if I'm not at the podium?

PUBLIC MEMBER: Yes.

MS. McGUIRE: Okay. So I've got some visuals. Those of you who are on the Board of the Planning and Zoning Commission, you've got smaller versions of this in your notebooks. They're behind Tab 1. I see you guys are good students because you brought them back tonight, so thank you. It took a lot of time to get those together.

So what I'm going to do first is walk you through some of the visuals, tell you what they are, what the information is, because $I$ went through the minutes from both January 2019 and September 2019 to look at questions that some of you guys had. I'm not an expert on wind turbines, but there's some information you were asking for that $I$ thought you might want to see in considering these regulations.

So go to the first picture. It should look like this. One of the Zoning Commissioners, excuse me, one of the Zoning Board members had asked for a map of wind speeds, a wind study in Buchanan County. I couldn't find a map specific to Buchanan County. I did find a web resource, windexchange.energy.gov. It's a public government funded website. It has a wind map of the entire United States. You can go in and look by state. This is an average wind speed of 80 meters. I think the hub on these are somewhere around 80 meters. This may
be a little bit short. There's another map on that same website that talks about the speed at 100 meters. So here's St. Joe. Here's our little pocket. You can see by the color coding, the red is the highest wind speed. This orange is the next highest, at least for our part of the state. So this is where we're at. This is why we're even here talking about is wind feasible in the county.

Once again, I'm not saying I'm a proponent of it. If we're going to have it, it needs to be regulated. That's why it needs to be regulated if we're going to have it. So that's your first visual.

The second one, this should be page 2 behind Tab 1. Another government database, this is from the USGS website. It's an online interactive database. The database shows the entire United States. You can zoom in at any wind turbine development in the country. It tells you who's the owner, how long it's been there, what the size of the tower is. It gives you some pretty specific information. If you look at your map that's in front of you behind Tab 1, I've zoomed this in, so we're basically looking at Northwestern Missouri. Here's St. Joe. Here's 36 Highway. The cluster that you see through here, this is NextEra. This is the development they have in Clinton County and Washington Township.

That's DeKalb. This is another reason why we have to make a decision of whether we want these wind turbines to be in Buchanan County. You see they cluster all through here. And there's some interesting information just to look at. Why are we here?

The next one is really fast and easy, another good visual. Unfortunately, everybody last night stole my material, but that's okay. We had speakers last night talking about comparisons on what these wind towers look like. We had some really good examples on the height of the KQ Tower. That's something we're familiar with. So if we look at this, I don't have the $K Q$ Tower. Here's 600 feet. We're talking about the height of 600 feet. Here's 500. This is measured from the outermost tip of the turbine. Obviously we're talking even another 100 feet beyond that. It gives you a visual on where the tip of the Washington Monument is if you've been to D.C. Here's the Eifel Tower, if you been lucky enough to go to Paris.

So we're looking at here, right through here, as tall as the Washington Monument. Again, it's another good visual to get in your head. What are we dealing with? Because if you haven't been east of St. Joe and driven past this beautiful array, you may not know what we're talking about.

Now, if you haven't driven out that direction, I would urge you to get on 6 Highway after the sun goes down, head east on 6 Highway. That's the route I take to go to my family farm. Every time I drive down 6 Highway, I look and you can see an arc from the entire horizon of flashing red lights. Same wind turbines facilities for here. That's what we're talking about.

MODERATOR SHAFER: You have approximately five minutes remaining.

MS. McGUIRE: Okay, I'll talk faster. Here we go. Let me tell you about what else is in your binder. If you go to Tabs 3 and Tabs 4, very quickly. What I did for your convenience, because you've got a lot of documents to look at, Tab 3 is a comparison of Draft 2 and Draft 3, originally from Black \& Veatch. This is done in Word program. It is a mark-up. So you see the differences of what the changes are between Draft 2 and Draft 3, instead of just people talking you through it. So it should be easier for you to follow. (Timer)

Now look at Tab 4. Are you trying to tease me?
MODERATOR SHAFER: No, that is five minutes. No, you have five minutes remaining.

MS. McGUIRE: All right. So Tab 4 contains a lot of mark-ups and my comments on what Draft 3 looks like. I'm not going to poke fun at engineers. Engineer --
lawyer. All right? You don't want me to do math, in all fairness. But there's a big difference here. I'm not getting paid to do this. As a matter of fact, it's costing me money to be here. I'm doing this because I'm very passionate about this. I'm not going to go through my family history. It's a waste of my five minutes. But, you know, I'm doing this because if we are going to allow these in the county, we have got to have good regulations.

I deal with very large corporations every day in my business. Right now I practice white collar criminal law in Houston, Texas. I deal with big corporations. As a younger lawyer, I've only been licensed for 31 years, sorry. As a younger lawyer, I was an Assistant Attorney General with the State of Missouri. Part of my charge when I was there is that one of my clients was called the Missouri Land Reclamation Commission. I would venture to bet that almost no one in this room knows what that entity is. That entity is around because of several decades ago there were strip coal mining operations in the state of Missouri. Most of you probably didn't know that. The coal mining industry has a lot of federal regulations. They're required to post reclamation bonds, which is why one of my hot topics on this entire debate is about the reclamation.

During my time period, I saw abandoned strip coal mines from companies that went bankrupt, from bonding companies that went bankrupt because it was their obligation through those bonds to come in and reclaim their property. They never did. What you have is a lunar landscape where nothing will grow, acid pools. It's awful. That's one of the reasons last night when my time was extended, but still not enough, I went straight to reclamation, because if these entities are allowed to put turbines in Buchanan County, we have got to make sure that there's money there for when these things do come down. Because they will come down. There is no company in the world that is too big to fail. We've seen it with Sears \& Roebuck. And we've seen it with Enron. If you'd like to talk about Enron, I've got plenty of time when I'm done, but not in my five minutes.

So if they're going to be allowed to be here, you do not, do not, let them just do a surety bond. You get a guarantee from the parent company, not some subsidiary that can be shuttered and closed. You have got to get legal advice to make sure that whoever is tagged with reclaiming this land, that they are judgment proof. That if they're not judgment proof, these debts cannot be wiped out in bankruptcy. Because we will be the ones
left holding the bag. I saw it in the coal mining industry. It will happen again with this. And I'm just, I'm very pessimistic about promises by big corporations.

So the other thing that $I$ think is a big point -and I'm going to skip a couple of my visuals. This is a visual that talks about the reclamation, because when we do talk about wind turbine reclamation, it's not just taking those turbines down. You have roads. You have culverts. You have all types of access. So it's not just the turbine. You've got to be aware of that.

The other big issue about this is, to borrow a Hollywood phrase, if you build it, they will come. Right? So a lot of us in this room, and probably some of the people standing here tonight, were dealing with the Grain Belt Express. A few years ago they'd throw a spaghetti map on the websites and everybody scrambled because the problem with the generation of all the power is, from our turbines or wherever they come from, they have to go somewhere. How do they get there?

Electrical power grids. How do they get the power grids? That's where eminent domain comes in.

So the turbines come first. And this comes next. This is the next move on the chess board. It's not just one thing. It's a cascading effect.

I think -- I said last night you guys have a big job. You're volunteers. God bless you for doing this because I wouldn't want to be in your chair right now. If you choose to go through with this, I beg you to please, please get competent counsel. Not that Mr. Gaddie isn't competent. But this is not a part-time thing. If you are doing business with a very large publicly-traded company, you have got to get someone here that can push back with equal force. It is not fair to put that burden solely on you. It's not fair to put the burden solely on the Commissioners, nor is it fair to do that to Mr. Gaddie. Please, do a search. Get some counsel out of Kansas City. Get another big law firm that can push back. Because that's how this is done. Big corporations can bend, but they don't do so unless you're willing to push back.

Thank you. I appreciate it.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you. I can assure everyone in this room, this long-time judge, long-time lawyer, believes Mr. Gaddie is extremely competent counsel.

Now ladies and gentlemen, we're about to recess for the evening. So I want to express my appreciation for the courtesies to me. I want to thank the Planning Commission and the County Commission for being here and
making this a public hearing. That is the reason why you have public hearings, to listen to everyone. Presiding Commissioner Sawyer, do you have any closing remarks, sir?

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER SAWYER: I just, again, thank you. Thank you, Judge Shafer for being here and conducting such a professional session for us. Thanks to all of you for showing up. Many of you came both nights and we appreciate that. We appreciate the input. Thanks again, Planning and Zoning. Thank you, Chad, for pulling a lot of this together, and Denise did a lot of things in the background. So me and Scott and Ron really appreciate you being here. And thanks for all your input. We genuinely appreciate that. Thank you.

MS. McGUIRE: Your Honor, can I add one thing?
MODERATOR SHAFER: Thank you, Presiding Commissioner.

MS. McGUIRE: Can I add one thing really quickly? I'm not implying that Mr. Gaddie is not a competent counsel. I'm just saying having people with experience in this area in the regulatory industry would be helpful.

MODERATOR SHAFER: I think, ladies and gentlemen, you've been extremely courteous to each other. Presiding Commissioner thanked everyone. Commissioner

Hook, do you have anything you want to say in closing?
MR. HOOK: No.
MODERATOR SHAFER: Commissioner Burnham?
MR. BURNHAM: No.
MODERATOR SHAFER: I think you should each give yourselves a round of applause for the way you've conducted yourselves. Thank you.

This public hearing starting on January 15th and concluding tonight is hereby concluded. Thank you.
(The public hearing conducted on Wednesday, January 15, 2020 and Thursday, January 16, 2020, concluded.)
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